

Correspondence

RE: Physical activity and the risk of liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies and a bias analysis

Haiyan Yang¹, Li Shi¹, Ying Wang¹, Guangcai Duan¹, Yadong Wang²

¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China

²Department of Toxicology, Henan Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Zhengzhou 450016, China

Corresponding author: Yadong Wang (wangyd76@163.com), Department of Toxicology, Henan Center for Disease Control and Prevention, No. 105 of South Nongye Road, Zhengzhou 450016, China. Phone: 86-371-68089043; Fax: 86-371-68089043. Haiyan Yang (yhy@zzu.edu.cn) and Guangcai Duan (gcduan@zzu.edu.cn), Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 of Science Avenue, Zhengzhou 450001, China.

Liver cancer is the sixth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death globally in 2018, with approximately 841,000 new cases and an estimated 782,000 deaths annually [1]. Primary liver cancer mainly consists of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (comprising 75%-85% of cases) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (comprising 10%-15% of cases). The major risk factors for liver cancer are chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV), aflatoxin-contaminated foodstuffs, smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, obesity and type 2 diabetes, etc [1-3]. A growing number of studies have reported the association between physical activity and liver cancer risk, but the conclusions are not consistent. Recently, Dr. Sebastian Baumeister and colleagues have performed a systematic review and meta-analysis based on fourteen prospective studies to investigate the association of physical activity with liver cancer risk, which has been published online in the Journal of the National Cancer Institute [4]. Their findings demonstrate that physical activity is inversely related to liver cancer risk. The mean hazard ratio (HR) for liver cancer risk, comparing high and low levels of physical activity, is 0.75 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.63-0.89). With great interest, we have read this paper and found that there are several issues which are worth mentioning.

First, the observation outcome is liver cancer mortality in both Suzuki et al's study [5] and Arem et al's study (Ref 58 in Baumeister et al's paper [4]), but Suzuki et al's study [5] was enrolled in the primary analysis in Figure 2 in Baumeister et al's paper [4], maybe owing to that the observation outcome of Suzuki et al's study [5] was mistakenly considered as liver cancer incidence by Baumeister et al [4].

Second, the number of incident liver cancer extracted from Yun et al's paper [6] should be 2676 since there are 1672 for low leisure-time physical activity and 1004 for moderate-high leisure-time physical activity in Table 2 in Yun et al's original paper [6], but it is reported as 169 in Table 1 in Baumeister et al's paper [4].

Third, the number of incident liver cancer extracted from Wen et al's paper [7] should be 1371 since there are 890 for inactive (<3.75 MET-h) and 481 for medium, high and very high (≥ 7.50 MET-h) in Table 4 in Wen et al's original paper [7], but it is reported as 481 in Table 1 in Baumeister et al's paper [4].

Fourth, Wen et al's paper reported both liver cancer mortality and liver cancer incidence in Table 4 [7], but the data of liver cancer mortality were not included in the subgroup analysis by endpoint in Table 2 in Baumeister et al's paper [4].

Taken together, the findings of the study reported by Baumeister et al [4] should be interpreted with caution. In order to present an accurate conclusion on the association between physical activity and liver cancer risk, we hope Baumeister et al consider the above mentioned points.

Funding: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1404815) and Henan Province University Science and Technology Innovation Talent Projects (17HASTIT045).

Notes

The funders had no roles in the preparation of the correspondence or decision to

publish. The authors report no conflict of interests.

References:

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, *et al.* Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68(6):394-424.
2. Freedman ND, Cross AJ, McGlynn KA, *et al.* Association of meat and fat intake with liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma in the NIH-AARP cohort. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;102(17):1354-65.
3. Jee SH, Ohrr H, Sull JW, *et al.* Cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, hepatitis B, and risk for hepatocellular carcinoma in Korea. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96(24):1851-6.
4. Baumeister SE, Leitzmann MF, Linseisen J, *et al.* Physical activity and the risk of liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies and a bias analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2019; 10.1093/jnci/djz111.
5. Suzuki K, Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of C. Health conditions and mortality in the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer (JACC). *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2007;8 Suppl:25-34.
6. Yun YH, Lim MK, Won YJ, *et al.* Dietary preference, physical activity, and cancer risk in men: national health insurance corporation study. *BMC Cancer* 2008;8:366.
7. Wen CP, Wai JP, Tsai MK, *et al.* Minimum amount of physical activity for

reduced mortality and extended life expectancy: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2011;378(9798):1244-53.