MAS dependent Sensitivity of different Isotopomers in selectively Methyl protonated Protein Samples in solid State NMR
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Abstract

Sensitivity and resolution together determine the quality of NMR spectra in biological solids. For high-resolution structure determination with solid-state NMR, proton-detection emerged as an attractive strategy in the last few years. Recent progress in probe technology has extended the range of available MAS frequencies up to above 100 kHz, enabling the detection of resolved resonances from sidechain protons, which are important reporters of structure. Here we characterise the interplay between MAS frequency in the newly available range of 70-110 kHz and proton content on the spectral quality obtainable on a 1GHz spectrometer for methyl resonances. Variable degrees of proton densities are tested on microcrystalline samples of the α-spectrin SH3 domain with selectively protonated methyl isotopomers (CH₃, CH₂D, CHD₂) in a perdeuterated matrix. The experimental results are supported by simulations that allow the prediction of the sensitivity outside this experimental frequency window. Our results facilitate the selection of the appropriate labelling scheme at a given MAS rotation frequency.
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Introduction

Direct detection of proton signals represents the most effective way to enhance NMR sensitivity (Maudsley et al. 1977) (Bodenhausen and Ruben 1980), (Ishii et al. 2001). In solids, however, the strong network of homonuclear dipolar couplings produces broad lines up to several kilohertz at moderate magic angle spinning (MAS) rates, with a consequent loss of spectral resolution (Maricq and Waugh 1979). Dilution of the proton content in a sample has been demonstrated as an effective strategy to retrieve narrow proton lines (McDermott et al. 1992) (Reif et al. 2001). However, both sensitivity and resolution are affected by the level of proton dilution depending on the MAS rate (Saalwächter 2005).

The maximum achievable frequency of sample spinning at the magic angle is inversely proportional to the diameter of the employed rotors (Samoson et al. 2005). Therefore, faster spinning implies smaller rotor volumes and less sample, and thus to start out with a reduced sensitivity. The effect is compensated by coil efficiency which is inversely related to coil diameter. In addition, protonated samples become accessible at ultra-fast rotation frequencies (Agarwal et al. 2014) (Andreas et al. 2016) (Bockmann et al. 2015). On the other hand, faster MAS is more effective in averaging $^1$H dipolar interactions, and tolerate less stringent dilutions, reducing the associated signal loss.

For 4.0 mm diameter (10-20 mg sample), the maximum MAS frequency amounts to 18 kHz. Partial deuteration (H: D ≈ 10: 90) of exchangeable amide sites in an otherwise extensively deuterated environment is essential to obtain high proton spectral quality (Chevelkov et al. 2006; Reif 2012). Faster spinning (3.2 mm diameter, 23 kHz) allowed to increase the proton density at exchangeable sites to ~ 30%. With the emergence of 1.3 mm probes (2 mg sample, maximum of ~ 67 kHz MAS), 100% protons at exchangeable amide sites (Lewandowski et al. 2011) in an otherwise deuterated sample yield optimal spectral quality for amide resonances (Schubeis et al. 2018; Stock et al. 2018; Vasa et al. 2019), opening the possibility for efficient detection of multiple triple-resonance correlations for site-specific backbone assignments (Barbet-Massin et al. 2014; Knight et al. 2011; Nieuwkoop et al. 2015). Fully-protonated samples become accessible at above 100 kHz MAS achievable in 0.7 mm probes (Andreas et al. 2016; Schubeis et al. 2018; Vasa et al. 2019).
In comparison to the amide backbone, the proton density in the aliphatic sidechain is significantly higher. Fractional labelling of protons in the amino acid sidechains (Asami and Reif 2012, 2013; Asami et al. 2010) and/or inverse fractional deuteration (Mance et al. 2015) yield excellent spectral resolution at moderate MAS frequencies.

It is obvious from the few available studies that even at the highest MAS frequency commercially available today, dipolar dephasing is still a major impediment for protonated samples (Bockmann et al. 2015). Despite the recent examples of a constructive use of side-chain proton resonances in fully-protonated systems with frequencies of ~110 kHz (Andreas et al. 2016; Stanek et al. 2016), experiments and simulations indicate that sensitivity and resolution are destined to increase above MAS frequencies beyond 100 kHz (Cala-De Paepe et al. 2017; Penzel et al. 2019), even for highly deuterated, CH₃ methyl protonated samples (Agarwal et al. 2014; Penzel et al. 2015). In fact, MAS frequencies beyond 300 kHz are needed to reach 80 % of the maximum achievable sensitivity (Xue et al. 2018).

In solution NMR, -CH₃ methyls yield the highest sensitivity with comparable resolution when comparing -CHD₂, -CH₂D and -CH₃ isotopomers. CH₃ methyl labelling is therefore the preferred strategy to investigate large molecular weight systems (Sprangers and Kay 2007; Sprangers et al. 2007). In solids, the situation is quite different. Although CH₃ has the highest proton density, intra-methyl as well as inter-methyl dipole-dipole couplings are significant and efficient averaging by MAS is difficult to achieve (Xue et al. 2018).

In selectively methyl protonated samples, the CH₃ isotopomer in principle yields a 3-fold higher sensitivity in comparison to CHD₂ labelling. At the same time, intra- and inter- methyl ¹H, ¹H dipolar interactions induce dipolar broadening that counters the advantage. A comparison of deuterated samples, with selectively labelled CH₃ and CHD₂ methyls at Ile and Val has been carried out previously by Schanda and co-workers for the sedimented 468 kDa dodecameric aminopeptidase TET2 (Kurauskas et al. 2016). The authors concluded that at > 60 kHz MAS and 14.1 T (600 MHz for ¹H)
magnetic field the two schemes (CH3 and CHD2) yield comparable linewidths, with the CH3 labelling providing improved sensitivity.

We here address the question of which methyl isotopomer yields the highest sensitivity at the highest available MAS rates in a model, ordered microcrystalline sample using experiments and numerical simulations. It is found that a MAS frequency of 68 kHz on average is needed to achieve a break-even in the sensitivity when CHD2 and CH3 labelled samples are compared.

We believe that the identification of the methyl isotopomer that yields the largest sensitivity at a given MAS rotation frequency will be useful for studies involving very large protein complexes, such as ribosomal complexes, for which sensitivity is limiting (Barbet-Massin et al. 2015) (Kurauskas et al. 2016) (Barbet-Massin et al. 2018).

Results

We recorded $^1$H detected 2D $^{13}$C, $^1$H correlation spectra as a function of MAS frequency in the range of 70-106 kHz, employing Cross Polarization (CP) (Pines et al. 1973). We used two selectively methyl labelled ($^{13}$CH3, $^{13}$CHD2) samples of the α-spectrin SH3 domain otherwise perdeuterated. Spectra for a sample containing $^{13}$CH2D isotopomers were estimated using numerical simulations.
Figure 1. A) CP based HSQC spectra recorded for CHD$_2$ and CH$_3$ selectively methyl protonated α-spectrin SH3 domain samples. Experiments were performed using 70 kHz MAS, on a 1 GHz spectrometer. Contour levels were set according to the same values, however accounting for the different amount of material in both samples. At this MAS frequency, not all cross peaks are observable for the CH$_3$ labelled sample (e.g. V23γ1, V9γ1, indicated by red circle). B) Superposition of CP based HSQC spectra recorded for CHD$_2$ (red trace) and CH$_3$ (black trace) selectively methyl protonated samples at 106 kHz MAS, where all the cross peaks are visible. Contour levels were set to the same values accounting for the different amount of materials in both samples. C) Proton lineshapes for V44γ1 and V53γ1 at 106 kHz MAS. The full width at half height FWHH of the proton methyl resonance of the CH$_3$ selectively protonated SH3 sample (~ 90 Hz) are much larger than those observed for the CHD$_2$ labelled sample (~ 35 Hz). Absolute signal to noise ratio for all methyl cross peaks in CHD$_2$ and CH$_3$ selectively methyl protonated SH3 samples recorded at 106 kHz (D) and 70 kHz (E) MAS. The signal to noise ratio for the CHD$_2$ sample was scaled according to amount of sample in each rotor (CH$_3$: CHD$_2$ = 1: 1.3) as shown in Figure S4. Due to the fact that the proton content of the CH$_3$ isotopomers is 3 times higher than the CHD$_2$ isotopomers, we expect better sensitivity for the
In the following, we compare the experimental intensities from CP based HSQC spectra for CH₃ and CHD₂ labelled samples acquired at a MAS frequency of 106 kHz. As expected, we observe that the sensitivity of the methyl resonances is significantly increased for all methyl resonances in the CH₃ labelled sample, with the exception of a few sites. To appreciate the effects of the proton network and the induced site-specific dipolar broadenings, we compared the experimental CH₃/CHD₂ intensity ratios to the gain in sensitivity that is theoretically expected. The ratio of the cross-peak intensities for CH₃ and CHD₂ labelled samples \( \frac{I(CH₃)}{I(CHD₂)} \) depends on number of protons, the respective \(^1\text{H} \) and \(^{13}\text{C} \) linewidth, as well as the transfer efficiencies \((\epsilon)\) in the following way:

\[
\frac{I(CH₃)}{I(CHD₂)} = 3 \times \frac{LW(\text{}^{1}\text{H}, CHD₂)}{LW(\text{}^{1}\text{H}, CH₃)} \times \frac{LW(\text{}^{13}\text{C}, CHD₂)}{LW(\text{}^{13}\text{C}, CH₃)} \times \frac{\epsilon(CH₃)}{\epsilon(CHD₂)}
\]

(1)

The transfer efficiency \((\epsilon)\) in the CP based experiments, as presented in this work, is related to \(T₁^p\), whereas in the INEPT based experiments, the transfer efficiency strongly depends on the proton spin bath. \(T₂\) typically increases with increased MAS rotation frequency (Asami and Reif 2012; Lewandowski et al. 2011). The ratio of \(^1\text{H} \) and \(^{13}\text{C} \) line widths of CHD₂ versus CH₃ labelled samples is on the order of 0.6 and 1.1, respectively (Figure S1). The ratio of the transfer efficiencies amounts to 1.45 (Figure S1). Accounting for all experimental parameters, we expect an increase of the intensities for the CH₃ labelled sample by inserting experimental values in equation 1 as follows: \( \frac{I(CH₃)}{I(CHD₂)} = 3*0.6*1.1*(1/1.45) \sim 1.33 \) at a MAS rotation frequency of 106 kHz. This is in good agreement with the experimental intensity ratios represented in Figure 1D. Of note, we consider negligible contribution of heterogeneity of magnetic field and sample to linewidths, which can be significant for samples such as amyloid fibrils or samples with local structural disorders.

Next, we compared the CH₃/CHD₂ intensity ratios with the \(d^{RSS}\) values extracted from the X-ray structure of the α-spectrin SH3 domain. Following the convention of Zorin et al. (Zorin et al. 2006), \(d^{RSS}\) refers to the square root of the sum of squared dipolar couplings:
\[ d_i^{RSS} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\sum_j \left( \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)^2} \]  

\( d^{RSS} \) is a proxy for the strength of the dipolar coupling network. The \( d^{RSS} \) values have been calculated using the X-ray structure of the α-spectrin SH3 domain (PDB-ID: 2nuz) (Chevelkov et al. 2007). In the calculation, a distance cut-off of 15 Å has been employed. As indicated schematically in Figure 2A, the number of potential proton-proton interactions increases more than quadratically with the number of protons in the respective isotopomer. Figure 2B shows the CH₃/CHD₂ intensity ratios correlated with the respective \( d^{RSS} \) values.

Figure 2. (A) Schematic representation of the dipolar coupling network for CH₃, CH₂D and CHD₂ selectively protonated methyl groups. The number of expected \( ^1H-^1H \) dipolar interactions is indicated below each scheme. (B) Correlation between the experimental CH₃/CHD₂ intensity ratio and the effective dipolar coupling \( d^{RSS} \). For the CHD₂ selectively methyl protonated sample, \( d^{RSS} \) has been calculated using a distance cut-off of 15 Å. For some leucine residues, the \( ^1H-^1H \) inter dipolar coupling is close to 0. For those residues, the sensitivity gain from CHD₂ to CH₃ is close to the maximum value of 3.

The distance between two protons in a methyl group is on the order of 1.79 Å, corresponding to a dipole-dipole coupling of \( \sim 21 \) kHz. Assuming a rigid CH₃ group, the total intramethyl \( d^{RSS} \) is \( \sim 51.4 \) kHz \((=\sqrt{3^*\sqrt{2^*21}} \) kHz\). Due to the fast methyl group
rotation, the anisotropic interactions are scaled by a factor of -1/2, yielding an averaged value of ~ 26 kHz. For CH₃, the inter-methyl contribution to \( d^{RSS} \) varies between 0 and 36 kHz (corresponding to a \(^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}\) distance of 2.13 Å). For CHD₂, 1/9 of these interactions remain, and \( d^{RSS} \) is reduced to a value on the order of 0-12 kHz. The \( d^{RSS} \) values in Figure 2B account only for the inter-methyl dipolar contributions. We find that the peak intensity ratios correlate rather well with the magnitude of the proton dipolar network.

Subsequently, we compared the CH₃/CHD₂ intensity ratio to explicit simulations carried out using the program SIMPSON (Bak et al. 2000; Tošner et al. 2014). Simulations and normalization of the experimental intensities was done as described previously (Xue et al. 2017; Xue et al. 2018). In brief, simulated and experimental intensities are related with the equation:

\[
INT_j^{exp}(i) = \kappa_j INT_j^{sim}(i) \epsilon_j
\]

where \( \kappa_j \) is an empirical fitting factor that is determined for each experiment. The index \( j \) denotes the MAS rotation frequency. \( \epsilon_j \) describes the magnetization transfer efficiency at the MAS frequency \( j \). In the following, we have employed cross polarization for magnetization transfer. \( INT_j^{sim}(i) \) and \( INT_j^{exp}(i) \) are cross peak intensities from simulation and experiment respectively and \( i \) is a residue specific index. Intensities for the CH₃ and CHD₂ labelled samples were normalized to 100 and 100/3, respectively. In the simulation, each methyl group was assumed to be interacting with the two closest methyl groups in the X-ray structure (i.e. the spin system to be simulated contained up to 9 spins). Methyl rotations were considered by scaling the intra-methyl \(^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}\) dipolar coupling by 1/3 and -1/2, respectively.

Simulated intensity build-up curves for the three labelling schemes (-CH₃, -CH₂D, -CHD₂) are represented in Figure 3A. The simulations are superimposed with experimental data (shown in red) for CH₃ and CHD₂ labelled samples. In Figure 3A, two residues have been selected that represent methyl groups in a dilute (L34) and a dense (V44) proton network. The signal intensities as a function of MAS frequency are shown in Figure S2. Individual simulated 1D-\(^1\text{H}\) spectra are shown in Figure 3B.
Figure 3. A) Cross peak intensity build-up curves for L34δ1 and V44γ2 in the α-spectrin SH3 domain as a function of the MAS frequency for CHD2, CH3 and CH2 selectively protonated samples. Residues V44 and L34 are embedded in differing proton networks and experience different effective proton dipolar couplings dRSS. Experimental intensities were corrected for CH3 and CHD2 with respect to the amount of protein in each rotor, employing a direct excitation 1D-13C experiment for quantification. Experimental intensities are normalized using the same method as described previously (Xue et al. 2018). B) Simulated proton lineshapes for the methyl groups L34δ1 and V44γ2. All simulations were carried out assuming a MAS frequency of 110 kHz and an external magnetic field of 1 GHz. Intensities are normalized according to the number of protons. C) Simulated CH3/CHD2 intensity ratio as a function of MAS for V44γ2. The methyl group of V44γ2 is located in the core of the protein and experiences the highest proton density. D) MAS frequency necessary to reach equal intensity in CH3 (red) and CH2 (black) with respect to CHD2 selectively methyl protonated SH3 samples assuming a B0 field of 1 GHz.

For L34, CH3 labelling yields a better sensitivity already above a MAS rotation frequency of 15 kHz in comparison to CHD2 labelling (Figure 3), if we assume the same polarization transfer efficiency. For V44, however, CH3 labelling will not be beneficial below a MAS frequency of 125 kHz with respect to the CHD2 labelled sample. The situation is even worse for CH3D labelled samples for which the break-even in comparison to CHD2 is expected above MAS rotation frequencies of 175 kHz.
We would like to point out here that the high proton density in CH$_3$ labelled microcrystalline samples can have an impact on the sensitivity of the experiment and might prevent the detection of a CH$_3$ labelled methyl group in the core of a protein structure. Care has to be taken in particular in experiments aiming at a structural analysis. Missing methyl cross peaks might result in a lack of short-range distance restraints that are potentially essential to define the geometry of the core of the protein structure.

**Conclusion**

We have shown that a MAS rotation frequency above 110 kHz is necessary to yield higher sensitivity for all methyl resonances in CH$_3$ selectively methyl protonated microcrystalline samples in comparison to their CHD$_2$ labelled counter parts. We believe that the obtained results will be in particular of interest for the design of experiments involving very large and ordered protein complexes for which sensitivity is limiting, and for which an additional gain in sensitivity would be desirable.

**Materials and methods**

The perdeuterated, selectively methyl protonated sample of the micro-crystalline SH3 domain was prepared as described previously (Agarwal et al. 2008). In brief, expression was carried out in 100 % D$_2$O M9 medium, supplemented with $^{15}$N-ammonium chloride and u-$[^2]$H, $^{13}$C)-D-glucose. α-ketoisovalerate (2-keto-3-(methyl-d$_3$)-butyric acid-4-$^{13}$C sodium salt, Sigma-Aldrich) (-CH$_3$ and -CHD$_2$ labelled) was added to the M9 medium 1 h prior to induction with 1 mM IPTG (at OD$_{600}$ 0.5-0.6). Subsequent to overnight expression, the SH3 domain was purified via anion exchange and size exclusion chromatography as described before. For crystallization, pure protein was lyophilized and dissolved in 100 % D$_2$O (final concentration: 8-10 mg/ml). Ammonium sulfate (dissolved in 100 % D$_2$O) was added to a final concentration of 100 mM and the pH was adjusted to 8.0 by adding NaOD.

All NMR experiments were carried out using a 0.7 mm H/C/N triple resonance MAS probe operating at a static magnetic field of 23.6 T (1 GHz $^1$H Larmor frequency). As the sample was recrystallized from 100 % D$_2$O, no solvent suppression was employed. For all experiments, the effective sample temperature was adjusted to be the same, using DSS and the residual water signal for calibration (Linser et al. 2007). The pulse sequences used to quantify the transfer efficiency are reported in the Supplementary
Material (Figure S3). The optimised $^1$H-$^{13}$C and $^{13}$C-$^1$H CP conditions at 1GHz field are as follows: at 106 kHz MAS (60 kHz on $^{13}$C, 177 kHz on $^1$H), at 90 kHz MAS (60 kHz on $^{13}$C and 160 kHz on $^1$H) and at 70 kHz MAS (50 kHz on $^{12}$C and 130 kHz on $^1$H). In all cases, $^1$H RF amplitude during Hartmann-Hahn matching was varied between 90% to 100% of the nominal values whereas constant amplitude was used for $^{13}$C. The contact times for $^{13}$C-$^1$H were set to 500 $\mu$s for both samples, whereas for $^1$H-$^{13}$C transfer, 500 $\mu$s and 1.5ms contact times were chosen for CH$_3$ and CHD$_2$ samples, respectively.
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Figure S1: Intensity analysis for spectra obtained for CH₃ and CHD₂ labelled SH3 domain protein. CP based HSQC spectra were obtained at 106 kHz MAS and 1 GHz B₀ field. Raw data were processed with 35 ms acquisition in carbon detection. In proton dimension, no window function is given, CH₃ was processed with 20 ms acquisition and CHD₂ was processed with 50 ms acquisition. Square sine bell (QSINE as implemented in Topspin Bruker) window function was applied for apodization in the indirect dimension. All peaks were nicely resolved. (Measured site-specific proton T₂ is 6 ms on average for CH₃ and 50 ms on average for CHD₂). The mean efficiency of 2 CP steps together (¹H→¹³C and ¹³C→¹H) amounts to 0.32 and 0.22 for CHD₂ and CH₃ labelled samples, respectively (B) measured by employing pulse sequence described in Figure S3A. Of note, no scaling of intensities due to sample amount was performed in A as done in Figure 1D in the main manuscript.
Figure S2: to be continued
**Figure S2**: HSQC $^1$H spectral intensities for all valine and leucine methyl groups α-spectrin SH3 as a function of MAS frequency. The experimental intensities were corrected for the experimental CP transfer efficiency. Experimental normalization method is the same as mentioned in previous publication.

**Figure S3**: Pulse sequences for residue specific Dipolar coupling based cross polarization efficiency.
Figure S4: Bloch decay spectra to quantify sample amounts in CH3 and CHD2 labelled proteins in the two different rotors. The amount is judged based only on the methyl region of the spectra.