Replication of fifteen loci involved in human plasma protein N-glycosylation in 4,802 samples from four cohorts

Word count: 3952 words

Key words: genetic association study / glycosylation / locus / total plasma N-glycome / replication

Sodbo Zh. Sharapov\textsuperscript{1}, Alexandra S. Shadrina\textsuperscript{1}, Yakov A. Tsepilov\textsuperscript{2,3}, Elizaveta E. Elgaeva\textsuperscript{1}, Evgeny S. Tiys\textsuperscript{1}, Sofya G. Feoktistova\textsuperscript{1}, Olga O. Zaytseva\textsuperscript{4}, Frano Vuckovic\textsuperscript{4}, Rafael Cuadrat\textsuperscript{5}, Susanne Jäger\textsuperscript{5,6}, Clemens Wittenbecher\textsuperscript{5,6,7}, Lennart C. Karssen\textsuperscript{8}, Maria Timofeeva\textsuperscript{9,10}, Therese Tillin\textsuperscript{11}, Irena Trbojević-Akmačić\textsuperscript{4}, Tamara Štambuk\textsuperscript{4}, Najda Rudman\textsuperscript{12}, Jasmina Krištić\textsuperscript{4}, Jelena Šimunović\textsuperscript{4}, Ana Momčilović\textsuperscript{4}, Marija Vilaj\textsuperscript{4}, Julija Jurić\textsuperscript{4}, Anita Slana\textsuperscript{4}, Ivan Gudelj\textsuperscript{4}, Thomas Klarić\textsuperscript{4}, Livia Puljak\textsuperscript{13}, Andrea Skelin\textsuperscript{4,14}, Antonia Jeličić Kadić\textsuperscript{15}, Jan Van Zundert\textsuperscript{16,17}, Nishi Chaturvedi\textsuperscript{11}, Harry Campbell\textsuperscript{9,18}, Malcolm Dunlop\textsuperscript{9}, Susan M. Farrington\textsuperscript{9}, Margaret Doherty\textsuperscript{19,20}, Concetta Dagostino\textsuperscript{21}, Christian Gieger\textsuperscript{22}, Massimo Allegri\textsuperscript{23}, Frances Williams\textsuperscript{24}, Matthias B. Schulze\textsuperscript{5,6,25}, Gordan Lauc\textsuperscript{4#}, Yuri S. Aulchenko\textsuperscript{18#}

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com
1 Laboratory of Glycogenomics, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
2 Laboratory of Theoretical and Applied Functional Genomics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
3 Laboratory of Recombination and Segregation Analysis, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
4 Genos Glycoscience Research Laboratory, Borongajska cesta 83h, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
5 Department of Molecular Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, 14558 Nuthetal, Germany
6 German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany
7 Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, U.S.
8 PolyOmica, ’s-Hertogenbosch 5237 PA, The Netherlands
9 Colon Cancer Genetics Group, Cancer Research UK Edinburgh Centre, Medical Research Council Institute of Genetics & Molecular Medicine, Western General Hospital, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK
10 D-IAS, Danish Institute for Advanced Study, Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, J.B. Winsløws Vej 9, DK-5000 Odense C
11 MRC Unit for Lifelong Health & Ageing University College London, London, UK
12 Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
13 Catholic University of Croatia, Ilica 242, Zagreb, Croatia
14 St. Catherine Specialty Hospital, 10000 Zagreb & 49210 Zabok, Croatia
15 University hospital Center Split, Department of Pediatrics, Croatia
16 Department of Anesthesiology and Multidisciplinary Paincentre, ZOL Genk/Lanaken, Belgium
17 Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
18 Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 9AG, UK
19 Institute of Technology Sligo, Department of Life Sciences, Sligo, Ireland
20 National Institute for Bioprocessing Research & Training, Dublin, Ireland
21 Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, Via Gramsci 14, 43126 Parma, Italy
22 Institute of Epidemiology II, Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Centre Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstädter Landstr. 1, D-85764, Neuherberg, Germany
23 Pain Therapy Department Policlinico Monza Hospital, 20090 Monza, Italy
24 Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, School of Life Course Sciences, King’s College London, St Thomas’ Campus, Lambeth Palace Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK
25 Institute of Nutrition Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
# These authors jointly supervised this work and contributed equally.

*Correspondence:
Yurii S. Aulchenko
yurii@bionet.nsc.ru

Running title: Replication of loci associated with plasma protein N-glycome

Supplementary data list:
- Table SI. Description of plasma protein N-glycosylation traits.
- Table SII. The list of associations between 15 replicated loci and plasma protein N-glycome traits.
- Table SIII. Description of the cohorts.
- Table SIV. Table of correspondence of UHPLC measured glycan peaks between studies.
Abstract

Human protein glycosylation is a complex process, and its in vivo regulation is poorly understood. Changes in glycosylation patterns are associated with many human diseases and conditions. Understanding the biological determinants of protein glycome provides a basis for future diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) allow to study biology via a hypothesis-free search of loci and genetic variants associated with a trait of interest. Sixteen loci were identified by three previous GWAS of human plasma proteome N-glycosylation. However, the possibility that some of these loci are false positives needs to be eliminated by replication studies, which have been limited so far.

Here, we use the largest set of samples so far (4,802 individuals) to replicate the previously identified loci. For all but one locus, the expected replication power exceeded 95%. Of the sixteen loci reported previously, fifteen were replicated in our study. For the remaining locus (near the KREMEN1 gene) the replication power was low, and hence replication results were inconclusive. The very high replication rate highlights the general robustness of the GWAS findings as well as the high standards adopted by the community that studies genetic regulation of protein glycosylation. The fifteen replicated loci present a good target for further functional studies. Among these, eight genes encode glycosyltransferases: MGAT5, B3GAT1, FUT8, FUT6, ST6GAL1, B4GALT1, ST3GAL4, and MGAT3. The remaining seven loci offer starting points for further functional follow-up investigation into molecules and mechanisms that regulate human protein N-glycosylation in vivo.
Introduction

Glycosylation is defined as the covalent attachment of carbohydrates (glycans) to a substrate. Glycosylation is a common co- and posttranslational modification that influences the physical properties of proteins and their biological function (Ohtsubo and Marth 2006; Skropeta 2009; Lauc et al. 2015; Takeuchi et al. 2017). Altered glycosylation is observed in many human diseases such as type 1 and type 2 diabetes (Lemmers et al. 2017), rheumatoid arthritis (Gudelj et al. 2018), hepatic and gastrointestinal pathology (Verhelst et al. 2020) (including inflammatory bowel disease (Trbojevic Akmacic et al. 2015)), Parkinson's disease (Russell et al. 2017), and cancer (Munkley and Elliott 2016). Changes in glycosylation profile are now considered potential biomarkers for different conditions, e.g., for HNF1A-MODY diabetes (Thanabalasingham et al. 2013; Juszczak et al. 2019) and chronic inflammatory gastrointestinal and liver disease (Verhelst et al. 2020).

The process of human protein glycosylation is complex, and mechanisms of its in vivo regulation are currently poorly understood. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) allow a hypothesis-free search of genetic loci and variants associated with glycome composition and have the potential to enrich our knowledge of molecules controlling glycosylation pathways. The gold-standard GWAS design involves identification of the loci that are significantly associated at the genome-wide level with a trait of interest in a discovery set and subsequent replication of the identified associations in an independent set (Bush and Moore 2012). Replication improves the reliability of GWAS findings and helps to reduce the chance that the observed genotype-phenotype association is a chance finding or an analysis artifact (Kraft et al. 2009). Replication is, therefore, an essential step before complex and expensive follow-up studies.

To date, three GWAS of human plasma protein N-glycosylation have been published (Lauc et al. 2010; Huffman et al. 2011; Sharapov et al. 2019). In the first two studies performed by Lauc et al. (Lauc et al. 2010) and Huffman et al. (Huffman et al. 2011), N-glycans were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) technology. A pilot GWAS by Lauc et al. (Lauc et al. 2010) analyzed 13 glycan traits in 2,705 individuals and discovered three genome-wide significant loci, one of which included the gene HNF1A and others including genes encoding fucosyltransferases FUT6 and FUT8. Furthermore, Lauc et al. performed a knockdown of HNF1A in the human liver cancer cell line HepG2 and experimentally demonstrated that HNF1A is a master regulator of plasma protein fucosylation. In 2011, Huffman et al. extended the analysis to 46 glycan traits (33 directly measured and 13 derived from original traits, that average glycosylation features such as branching, galactosylation, sialylation and other features across different individual glycan structures) and increased the sample size to 3,533 individuals. These refinements led to the identification of three additional loci. However, none of these studies used independent samples to replicate their findings. Finally, the recent study by Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019) analyzed a total of 113 glycan traits, of which 36 were directly measured by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC – a method more advanced and accurate than HPLC (Ahn et al. 2010)) and 77 were derived traits. Examining data on 2,763 individuals, Sharapov et al. replicated 5 loci discovered in previous work (all except SLC9A9) and identified 10 new loci.
Seven out of these ten new loci were replicated in an independent cohort of 1,048 samples. Thus, 16 loci associated with plasma protein N-glycome composition have been identified to date, and 12 of them have been replicated in independent samples (Figure 1).

Here, we aimed to verify the findings of previous GWASs using an independent set of 4,802 individuals from four studies. Our study relied on the largest collection of samples with genotype and plasma protein N-glycome data available to date. In addition, we used an updated annotation of UHPLC peaks which refines the glycan species in each glycan peak and, consequently, refines the interpretation of N-glycan measurement (Zaytseva et al. 2020). In total, we analyzed 117 glycan traits, from which 36 were directly measured glycan traits and 81 were derived traits (Table SI). Our objectives were as follows: a) to replicate the association of the four loci which have not been replicated previously; b) to provide additional confirmation for the twelve previously replicated loci; c) to establish which updated glycan traits are associated with the analyzed loci.

**Results and discussion**

In our study, we used data from the EPIC-Potsdam \((N = 2,192)\), PainOmics \((N = 1,874)\), SOCCS \((N = 459)\), and SABRE \((N = 277)\) cohorts with a total sample size of \(N = 4,802\) to analyze the association of 16 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with 117 plasma protein N-glycosylation traits. With this sample size, our study had > 95 % statistical power to replicate a true positive association signal. Of note, in the study of Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019), statistical power of replication analysis was 80 %, meaning that on average one out of five true associations would not be expected to replicate.

As we aimed not only to replicate the loci of interest but also to refine the spectrum of associated traits, we tested association of all 117 traits within each locus. We considered a locus to be replicated if the nominal \(P\)-value of association with at least one of the 117 traits passed the Bonferroni corrected conservative threshold of \(P < 0.05 / (117 \times 16) = 2.67 \times 10^{-5}\), where 117 is a number of traits and 16 is a number of loci. Fifteen out of sixteen loci reported in previous human plasma protein N-glycome GWAS demonstrated an association with the studied N-glycosylation traits at \(P < 2.67 \times 10^{-5}\) in our study and were therefore considered replicated (Table I; all significant locus-trait associations are listed in Table SII).

One locus near the **KREMEN1** gene did not pass the statistical significance threshold. While this result is consistent with the study of Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019) in which this locus was also not replicated, it cannot yet be taken as strong evidence that the **KREMEN1** locus is not involved in N-glycosylation because the minor allele frequency of the investigated variant was low (MAF = 2%) and only the SOCCS study \((N = 459)\) had genotypic data of sufficient quality available. Consequently, the replication power was limited to 9% and hence the results concerning **KREMEN1** are inconclusive.

To summarize our results, first, our study provided additional independent replication of the 12 loci replicated previously in (Sharapov et al. 2019) (Figure 1). Second, we confirmed the association of three loci near the genes **PRRC2A** (**HLA** region), **RUNX3/MAN1C1**, and **SLC9A9** which have not been replicated before. Finally, we updated the set of glycan traits influenced by variation in the 15 replicated loci (Table SII).
Independent replication of 12 previously replicated loci provides strong evidence that these associations are true positive findings. Overall, in this study, the 12 previously replicated loci showed association with glycan features which were the same or similar to those reported previously by Sharapov et al. (see Table SII). Six of these loci (near the genes MGAT5, ST6GAL1, B4GALT1, IKZF1, IGH/TMEM121, and SMARCB1/DERL3(CHCHD10) showed the most significant association with the same top traits as reported by Huffman et al. or Sharapov et al. (Table I). For the remaining six loci (near the genes B3GAT1, FUT8, FUT6, HNF1A, ST3GAL4, and MGAT3, see Table I and Table SII), we have refined the most significantly associated glycan trait. For the loci near B3GAT1, FUT8, FUT6, and HNF1A, there is a clear explanation for the difference between the top associated glycan features found in the Huffman et al. study (Huffman et al. 2011) and ours. The top glycan traits from the Huffman et al. study were measured by HPLC after the plasma N-glycan samples have been treated with a de-sialylation enzyme removing sialic acid residues from all glycans. In the present study, such treatment has not been performed. Thus, the top glycan traits from the (Huffman et al. 2011) study were not present in our analysis set. It should be noted that, in the present study, eight loci containing glycosyltransferase genes (B3GAT1, FUT8, FUT6, ST3GAL4, ST6GAL1, B4GALT1, MGAT5, and MGAT3) showed consistency between the enzymatic activity of the corresponding proteins and the spectra of associated glycan traits (see Table SII for the details).

The current study is the first to replicate the association between plasma protein N-glycosylation and the loci near the genes PRR2CA (HLA region), RUNX3/MAN1C1, and SLC9A9. For two of these loci - PRR2CA and RUNX3/MAN1C1- we confirmed association with the same top traits, as reported by (Sharapov et al. 2019) - M9 (high mannose glycan) and FBG1n/G1n (percentage of core fucosylated bisected glycans among monogalactosylated neutral glycans), respectively.

Compared to the previous replication study of these loci (Sharapov et al. 2019), our sample size was more than four times larger for the loci near PRR2CA and RUNX3/MAN1C1, and almost twice as large for the locus near the SLC9A9 gene, thus, increasing the sample size was critical for confirmation of the effect of these loci. The locus near SLC9A9 (solute carrier family 9 member A9) does not contain any gene that is an obvious candidate for involvement in glycosylation. Along with Huffman et al., we consider the gene nearest to the top associated SNP – SLC9A9 – as the causal gene. This gene encodes a sodium/proton exchanger which is suggestively involved in the regulation of endosomal pH (Roxrud et al. 2009). Glycosylation is likely to be highly sensitive to changes in Golgi luminal pH (Kellokumpu 2019). Golgi acidity influences the formation of heteromeric complexes of enzymes involved in glycosylation pathways (Hassinen et al. 2011). Rivinoja et al. (Rivinoja et al. 2009) showed that elevated pH in the Golgi apparatus can impair protein terminal N-glycosylation (including sialylation) by inducing mislocalization of Golgi glycosyltransferases. This is consistent with the association of SLC9A9 locus with the trait tetrasialylation of N-glycans reported in the Huffman et al. study and sialylation-related traits (including the top associated trait FBS2/FS2 – the ratio of core-fucosylated disialylated structures with and without
bisecting GlcNAc) revealed in our work (Table SII). We speculate that alterations in SLC9A9 function might affect sialylation of bisected (addition of bisected GlcNAc) glycans via modulation of Golgi pH. Interestingly, strong defects in another solute carrier, a manganese transporter SLC38A9 (Mn²⁺ cations are important cofactors for normal Golgi functioning (Breton et al. 2006)), lead to a congenital disorder of glycosylation (Park et al. 2015), while the common missense variant rs13107325 in this gene was found to be associated with weak changes in the plasma protein N-glycome (Mealer et al. 2019). In Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019), rs13107325 was associated with the percentage of trisialylated structures, although this association did not reach genome-wide significance (nominal P = 6×10⁻⁵).

The genes RUNX3 and MAN1C1 located near index rs186127900 encode proteins both of which are implicated in glycosylation or its regulation. RUNX3 is a member of the runt domain-containing family of transcription factors. Klarić et al. performed a functional network analysis and suggested that RUNX3, together with RUNX1 and SMARCB1, may regulate the expression of glycosyltransferase MGAT3 (Klarić et al. 2020). MAN1C1 (mannosyl-oligosaccharide 1,2-alpha-mannosidase IC) is the Golgi mannosidase involved in the maturation of Asn-linked oligosaccharides. Current evidence does not allow us to prioritize one of these genes over another, highlighting the need for further functional studies. The remaining locus (near the PRRC2A gene) resides in the HLA region. Given the very special structure of this region, prioritization of causal genes in this locus using only genetics methods is difficult.

Our study has several limitations. First, the analyzed cohorts included only European-ancestry individuals. Therefore, the generalizability of our findings to populations with a different ethnic background is limited. Second, we investigated the association of SNPs with total plasma protein glycosylation, which implies that we cannot detect genetic effects on protein-specific glycosylation (although there is evidence that some of the UHPLC glycan structures are protein specific (Clerc et al. 2016)). Finally, our study had only 9% statistical power to replicate the association of the locus near the KREMEN1 gene.

In summary, our study provides strong evidence that 15 out of the 16 loci discovered in previous studies are robustly associated with human plasma protein N-glycome composition. For the locus near the KREMEN1 gene, the results remain inconclusive. The very high replication rate highlights the robustness and generalizability of GWAS findings in general, as well as high standards adopted by previous work (Lauc et al. 2010; Huffman et al. 2011; Sharapov et al. 2019) in particular. The 15 replicated loci present a good target for further functional studies. Among these, eight contain an “obvious” candidate gene (a glycosyltransferase involved in the N-glycan biosynthesis). Previous in vitro studies confirmed the role of two loci, HNF1A (Lauc et al. 2010) and IKZF1 (Klarić et al. 2020), in regulation of N-glycosylation (see Figure 1). The association of the remaining five loci with plasma protein N-glycosylation may serve as a starting point for further functional studies.

Materials and methods

For the replication of previously reported associations, we used data (combined association results) collected in four studies: EPIC-Potsdam (N = 2,192), PainOomics (N =
1,874), SOCCS \((N = 459)\), and SABRE \((N = 277)\) with a total sample size of \(N = 4,802\) samples. Description of the analyzed cohorts, phenotyping (including plasma N-glycome measurement and quality control), genotyping, and imputation is provided in Supplementary Methods and Table SIII. All participants enrolled in the studies gave written informed consent, and all studies were approved by the corresponding Ethics Committees/Institutional Review Boards.

**Association analysis and meta-analysis**

We performed association analysis for tag SNPs, representing sixteen loci, previously reported to be associated with total plasma N-glycome traits (Lauc et al. 2010; Huffman et al. 2011; Sharapov et al. 2019). Tag SNPs for the loci near the genes *FUT3/FUT5/FUT6/FUT8, HNF1A, B3GAT1, MGAT5*, and *SLC9A9*, associations found in the series of GWAS conducted on HPLC-measured total plasma N-glycome data, were selected by choosing the SNP with the strongest association as reported by Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019). Tag SNPs for other loci, associations found in the first GWAS of UHPLC-measured total plasma N-glycome data, were selected by choosing the SNP with the strongest association as reported by Huffman et al. (Huffman et al. 2011). The rs59111563 SNP tagging *ST6GAL1* locus was not present in our replication set, and we, therefore, replaced it with rs17775791 \((LD^2 = 0.9898, \text{as calculated by LDLink, EUR subset of samples from 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 version 5, allele rs59111563 delT is positively correlated with allele rs17775791 T})\). The association analysis for tag SNPs was conducted assuming an additive model of genetic effects. We conducted an inverse-variance weighted fixed-effect meta-analysis of sixteen tag SNPs among four cohorts. The meta-analysis was performed using the GWAS-MAP platform (Gorev et al. 2018).

**Replication**

Since there is no direct trait-to-trait correspondence between glycan traits measured by HPLC and UHPLC technologies, we tested the association of tag SNPs with all 117 glycan traits. We considered a locus to be replicated if the tag SNP showed association with at least one of 117 glycan traits with a replication threshold. The summary of the association between 16 loci and glycome traits that passed the replication threshold is provided in Supplementary Table 2. The statistical power calculation is given in the Supplementary Methods.
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immunoglobulin G show pleiotropy with autoimmune diseases and haematological cancers.


mechanisms ensure disposal of NHE6 mutant protein associated with neurological disease.


Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the plasma protein N-glycome-associated loci revealed in previous GWAS and replicated in the present study. Chr – chromosome. *The role of the MANIC1 (mannosidase alpha class 1C member 1) gene in glycosylation is known, but the association of the MANIC1 locus was not replicated in the Sharapov et al. study (Sharapov et al. 2019). Green boxes highlight loci associated with N-glycosylation of IgG as reported by (Lauc et al. 2013; Shen et al. 2017; Klarić et al. 2020). The (enz.) label highlights genes that encode enzymes with known roles in glycan biosynthesis. The (in vitro) label highlights genes whose regulatory role in the glycosylation process was shown in vitro (Lauc et al. 2010; Klarić et al. 2020).
### Tables

**Table I.** Replication of sixteen loci reported to be associated with human plasma protein N-glycome in previous (Lauc et al. 2010; Huffman et al. 2011; Sharapov et al. 2019).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index SNP</th>
<th>Chr:position&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>EA/RA&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>EAF&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Genes&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Top trait&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>β (SE)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Top trait&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>β (SE)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>EAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Huffman et al. 2011</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1257220</td>
<td>2:135015347</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>MGAT5</td>
<td>Tetra-antennary glycans</td>
<td>0.19 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.80×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>G4total, A4total&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22 (0.02)</td>
<td>6.11×10&lt;sup&gt;-24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4839604</td>
<td>3:142960273</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>SLC9A9</td>
<td>Tetrosialylated glycans</td>
<td>-0.22 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.50×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FB52/FS2</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.87×10&lt;sup&gt;-14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7928758</td>
<td>11:134265967</td>
<td>T/G</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>B3GAT1</td>
<td>A4F2G4 (DG13)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.66×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A4G4S3</td>
<td>0.36 (0.03)</td>
<td>6.43×10&lt;sup&gt;-27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs735396</td>
<td>12:121438844</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>HNF1A</td>
<td>A2F1G2 (DG7)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.81×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>G3Fa/G3total</td>
<td>0.21 (0.02)</td>
<td>4.91×10&lt;sup&gt;-20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11621121</td>
<td>14:65822493</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>FUT8</td>
<td>A2 (DG1)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.69×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FG3/G3total</td>
<td>-0.31 (0.02)</td>
<td>8.94×10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3760776</td>
<td>19:5839746</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>FUT6</td>
<td>A3F1G3 (DG9)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.18×10&lt;sup&gt;-20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>G3Fa/G3total</td>
<td>0.48 (0.05)</td>
<td>9.43×10&lt;sup&gt;-24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sharapov et al. 2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs186127900</td>
<td>1:25318225</td>
<td>G/T</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>RUNX3, MAN1C1</td>
<td>FBGln/G1n</td>
<td>-1.26 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.04×10&lt;sup&gt;-11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FA2G2S2</td>
<td>1.24 (0.19)</td>
<td>1.16×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs5911156&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3:186722848</td>
<td>Del/Ins</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>ST6GAL1</td>
<td>FG1S1/FG1+FG1S1</td>
<td>0.34 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.09×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FG1S1/FG1+FG1S1</td>
<td>0.49 (0.02)</td>
<td>8.60×10&lt;sup&gt;-27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs31135663</td>
<td>6:31601843</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>PRRC2A</td>
<td>M9</td>
<td>0.26 (0.04)</td>
<td>7.65×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>M9</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.63×10&lt;sup&gt;-8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6421315</td>
<td>7:50355207</td>
<td>G/C</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>I2F21</td>
<td>A2[6]BG1n</td>
<td>0.19 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.57×10&lt;sup&gt;-11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A2[6]BG1n</td>
<td>0.23 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.19×10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs13297246</td>
<td>9:33128617</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>B4GALT1</td>
<td>FA2G2n</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.04)</td>
<td>4.11×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FA2G2n</td>
<td>-0.31 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.28×10&lt;sup&gt;-9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3967200</td>
<td>11:126232385</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>ST3GAL4</td>
<td>A2G2S3,6+12</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.51×10&lt;sup&gt;-12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>G4S3/G4S4</td>
<td>0.63 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.20×10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs35590487</td>
<td>14:105989599</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>IGH, TMEM121</td>
<td>FA2[3]Gln</td>
<td>-0.24 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.98×10&lt;sup&gt;-12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FA2[3]Gln</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.38×10&lt;sup&gt;-9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9624334</td>
<td>22:2416625</td>
<td>G/C</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>DERL3, SMARCBI, CHCHD10</td>
<td>FA2[6]BG1n</td>
<td>0.28 (0.04)</td>
<td>8.38×10&lt;sup&gt;-12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FA2[6]BG1n</td>
<td>0.31 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.15×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs140053014</td>
<td>22:29550678</td>
<td>Ins/Del</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>KREMEN1</td>
<td>G3S2/G3S3</td>
<td>-0.67 (0.11)</td>
<td>4.05×10&lt;sup&gt;-10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FA2[3]Gln</td>
<td>-0.68 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs909674</td>
<td>22:39859169</td>
<td>C/A</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>MGAT3</td>
<td>FB52/FS2</td>
<td>0.22 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.72×10&lt;sup&gt;-11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FBn</td>
<td>0.22 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.88×10&lt;sup&gt;-9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Locus which was not replicated in the present study is in bold italics. All statistically significant associations identified in the present study are provided in Table SII.

<sup>a</sup> Chromosome: position on chromosome according to GRCh37.p13 assembly

<sup>b</sup> Effect allele/reference allele

<sup>c</sup> Effect allele frequency

<sup>d</sup> Nearest genes or genes prioritized in previous GWAS for human plasma protein N-glycome

<sup>e</sup> Trait associated with index SNP at the highest level of statistical significance (as reported in the studies by Huffman et al. (Huffman et al. 2011) and Sharapov et al. (Sharapov et al. 2019))

<sup>f</sup> Trait associated with the index SNP at the highest level of statistical significance in our study. Description of traits is provided in Table SI
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Two traits (G4total – tetragalactosylated glycans and A4total – tetra-antennary glycans) were associated with the index SNP with the same level of statistical significance and effect size.

SNP rs59111563 was not present in our replication set. We performed a replication analysis for SNP rs17775791, which is in high LD with rs59111563 (r² = 0.99 in European ancestry populations, allele rs59111563 Del is positively correlated with allele rs17775791 T according to LDlink, https://analysistools.nci.nih.gov/LDlink/). Therefore, top trait, β (SE), P and EAF indicated in the “our study” Table cells correspond to rs17775791.