

A Pólya criterion for (strict) positive-definiteness on the sphere

R. K. BEATSON*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

*Corresponding author: r.beatson@math.canterbury.ac.nz

WOLFGANG ZU CASTELL

*Scientific Computing Research Unit, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
castell@helmholtz-muenchen.de*

AND

YUAN XU

*Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1222, USA
yuan@math.uoregon.edu*

[Received on 10 October 2011; revised on 26 June 2012]

Positive-definite functions are very important in both the theory and applications of approximation theory, probability and statistics. In particular, identifying strictly positive-definite kernels is of great interest as interpolation problems based upon such kernels are guaranteed to have a unique solution whenever the nodes $\{x_j\}$ are distinct. A Bochner-type result of Schoenberg characterizes continuous positive-definite zonal functions, $f(\cos \cdot)$, on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , as those with non-negative Gegenbauer coefficients. More recent results characterize strictly positive-definite functions on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} by stronger conditions on the signs of the Gegenbauer coefficients. Unfortunately, given a function f , checking the signs of all the Gegenbauer coefficients can be an onerous, or impossible, task. Therefore, it is natural to seek simpler sufficient conditions which guarantee (strict) positive-definiteness. We state a conjecture which leads to a Pólya-type criterion for functions to be (strictly) positive definite on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . In analogy to the case of Euclidean space, the conjecture claims positivity of a certain integral involving Gegenbauer polynomials. We provide a proof of the conjecture for d from 3 to 8.

Keywords: positive-definite functions; strictly positive-definite; Pólya criterion; sphere; compactly supported kernel.

1. Introduction

Positive-definite functions are very important in both the theory and applications of approximation theory, probability and statistics. Although Bochner's theorem characterizes continuous positive-definite functions on \mathbb{R}^d , it has long been recognized that the conditions of Bochner's theorem may be difficult to check. For example, [Askey \(1975\)](#) states,

It is an unfortunate fact that necessary and sufficient conditions are often impossible to verify and one must search for useful sufficient conditions when confronted with a particular example.

Pólya (1918) proved that an even continuous function which is convex on the positive real line and vanishes at infinity has a non-negative Fourier transform. Later, Pólya (1949) proved a similar statement for the inverse transform, thereby showing that an even function f which is continuous and convex on $[0, \infty)$ and vanishes at infinity, is positive definite on the real line. This sufficient condition is now commonly referred to as the *Pólya criterion*.

This criterion has been generalized for positive-definite functions on \mathbb{R}^d (Rudin, 1958; Askey, 1973; Trigub, 1989), considering radial functions instead of even ones. Further refinements of these criteria followed (cf. Gneiting, 2001 and the references therein). Askey's proof (Askey, 1973) relates his Pólya-type criterion to the non-negativity of certain integrals (Fields & Ismail, 1975; Gasper, 1975). These integrals represent the Fourier transform of a function, which can in some sense be considered a prototype for all functions that Askey's Pólya-type criterion is applicable to.

A continuous function $g : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is (zonal) positive definite on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} if for all distinct point sets $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ on the sphere and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrices $M_{\mathcal{X}} := [g(d(x_i, x_j))]_{i,j=1}^n$ are positive semidefinite, that is, $c^T M_{\mathcal{X}} c \geq 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In this definition, $d(x, y)$ denotes the geodesic distance, $\arccos(x^T y)$, on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . The function g is *strictly positive definite* on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} if the matrices are all positive definite, that is, $c^T M_{\mathcal{X}} c > 0$ for all nonzero $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The importance of the strict positive-definiteness of a kernel is that this property guarantees the existence and uniqueness of solutions to corresponding interpolation problems. Thus, if g is strictly positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then the interpolation matrix $M_{\mathcal{X}}$ is symmetric positive definite, and there is exactly one function of the form

$$s(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j g(d(x, x_j)),$$

which takes given values, $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^n$, at the distinct nodes $\mathcal{X} = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Therefore, an easy means of identifying strictly positive-definite kernels is of great interest as it will enable the assembly of a toolkit of different kernel-based interpolation methods.

Continuous positive-definite zonal functions on the sphere were studied by Schoenberg (1942) who proved the following Bochner-type theorem (Schoenberg, 1942, Theorem 1).

THEOREM 1.1 Let f be a continuous function on $[-1, 1]$. The function $f(\cos \cdot)$ is positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} if and only if $f(\cos \theta)$ has a Gegenbauer expansion,

$$f(\cos \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k C_k^{(d-2)/2}(\cos \theta), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi], \quad (1.1)$$

in which all of the coefficients a_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are non-negative, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k C_k^{(d-2)/2}(1) < \infty$.

Here $C_k^{(d-2)/2}$ denotes the Gegenbauer/ultraspherical polynomial with parameter $(d-2)/2$ and degree k . Abramowitz & Stegun (1965) and Szegő (1975) give many of the properties of these functions. The characterization of strictly positive-definite functions on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} came somewhat later. A simple sufficient condition (Xu & Cheney, 1992) states that $f(\cos \cdot)$ is strictly positive definite if, in addition to the conditions of Theorem 1.1, all the Gegenbauer coefficients a_k are positive. Chen *et al.* (2003) showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f(\cos \cdot)$ to be strictly positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , $d \geq 3$ is that, in addition to the conditions of Theorem 1.1, infinitely many of the Gegenbauer coefficients with odd index, and infinitely many of those with even index, are positive.

Schoenberg also characterized those continuous functions $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\cos \cdot)$ is a positive-definite zonal function on all spheres (cf. in Schoenberg, 1942, (1.6) and Theorem 2).

THEOREM 1.2 Let f be a continuous function on $[-1, 1]$. The function $f(\cos \cdot)$ is positive definite on all spheres \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , $d \geq 2$ if and only if $f(\cos \theta)$ has an expansion

$$f(\cos \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\cos \theta)^k, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi], \tag{1.2}$$

where $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ converges.

A function $f : (u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *absolutely monotonic* if it has derivatives of all orders on (u, v) , and these are all non-negative. Such a function is characterized by having a series expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(u^+) (x - u)^k \tag{1.3}$$

converging to $f(x)$ for all $x \in (u, v)$. If, in addition, f is continuous on $[u, v]$, then the expansion converges to f uniformly on $[u, v]$. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 identifies the continuous functions $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\cos \cdot)$ is positive definite on all spheres as those functions for which f is the analytic extension to $[-1, 1]$ of an absolutely monotonic function on $[0, 1]$.

Note that a similar result holds true for radial functions in \mathbb{R}^d , too, with absolute monotonicity being replaced by complete monotonicity. Theorem 1.2 hints towards what should be a Pólya-type criterion for positive-definite zonal functions on the sphere. If we assume $f(\cos \cdot)$ to be positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} only, we can expect the pattern (1.3) to break down after finitely many terms. This intuition fully applies in the Euclidean case \mathbb{R}^d .

The purpose of this paper is to formulate a Pólya-type criterion for positive-definite zonal functions on the sphere. The proof actually depends on proving the non-negativity of a certain integral; see Conjecture 1.4. In parallel to the Euclidean theory, the proof in the general case turns out to be quite hard. We were able to establish the result for dimensions up to $d = 8$, doing extensive computer algebra and numerical calculations for higher dimensions.

Before stating the conjecture, let us first formulate the Pólya-type criterion.

THEOREM 1.3 Let $d \in \{3, 4, \dots, 8\}$ and $\lambda = \lceil (d - 2)/2 \rceil$. Let the real-valued function $g(\cdot) = f(\cos \cdot)$ on $[0, \pi]$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $g \in C^\lambda[0, \pi]$;
- (ii) $\text{supp}(g) \subset [0, \pi]$;
- (iii) the derivative from the right, $g^{(\lambda+1)}(0)$, exists and is finite;
- (iv) $(-1)^\lambda g^{(\lambda)}$ is convex.

Then g is a positive-definite function on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

If, in addition to the above properties, $g^{(\lambda)}$ restricted to $(0, \pi)$ does not reduce to a linear polynomial, then g is a strictly positive-definite function on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

The theorem shows that the compactly supported zonal functions $(t - \theta)_+^\mu$ are strictly positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} whenever $\mu \geq d/2$ and $d = 4, 6$ or 8 . These are the prototypes for a class of strictly positive definite compactly supported functions on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . The positive-definiteness of these functions is not clear from any of the previous criteria for positive-definiteness for functions on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} or \mathbb{R}^d . The analogous

class of positive-definite functions for the ambient space \mathbb{R}^d is known from [Askey \(1973\)](#), [Fields & Ismail \(1975\)](#) and [Gasper \(1975\)](#) to be $\{(t - \|x\|)_+^{\nu} : \nu \geq (d + 1)/2\}$.

After this paper was submitted, [Gneiting \(2012, Theorem 6\)](#), using Theorem 1.3 as a building block, extended Theorem 1.3 to the case of noncompactly supported functions g .

We conjecture Theorem 1.3 to be true for all dimensions $d > 2$. Therefore, we will provide a proof for a general dimension, relying on the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.4 Let $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For every $0 < t < \pi$, define

$$F_n^{\lambda, \delta}(t) = \int_0^t (t - \theta)^\delta C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta. \quad (1.4)$$

Then $F_n^{\lambda, \delta}(t) > 0$ for all t in $(0, \pi]$ if and only if $\delta \geq \lambda + 1$.

The conjecture is essentially equivalent to proving $(t - \theta)_+^\delta$ is strictly positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . These zonal functions are supported on a spherical cap, as are the functions shown to be (strictly) positive definite in Theorem 1.3.

The conjecture has been stated in greater generality than we really need for the positive-definiteness results for \mathbb{S}^{d-1} of the form of Theorem 1.3. For those we are only interested in Gegenbauer coefficients for expansions with integer parameter $\lambda = \lceil (d - 2)/2 \rceil$.

The boundary case $\delta = \lambda + 1$ of Conjecture 1.4 will receive special attention. Therefore, we define

$$F_n^\lambda(t) = F_n^{\lambda, \lambda+1}(t) = \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\lambda+1} C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta. \quad (1.5)$$

Those cases in which we have proved the conjecture are listed in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.5 Let $d \in \{4, 6, 8\}$, $\lambda = (d - 2)/2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$F_n^\lambda(t) = \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\lambda+1} C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta > 0$$

for all $0 < t \leq \pi$.

The observant reader will have noted that the case $d = 2$, which is the case of the circle \mathbb{S}^1 , does not appear in Theorem 1.3. This is because this particular case does not fit the general pattern for strict positive-definiteness. Regarding positive-definiteness, [Gneiting \(1998\)](#) has shown the following result.

THEOREM 1.6 Suppose the function $g(t)$, defined for $t \in [-K, K]$, has the following properties:

- (i) $g(t)$ is real-valued, even and continuous;
- (ii) $g(0) = 1$;
- (iii) $\int_{-K}^K g(t) dt \geq 0$;
- (iv) $g(t)$ is nonincreasing and convex for $t \in [0, K]$.

Then $g(t)$, $t \in [-K, K]$ is a correlation function on the circle of circumference $2K$.

In the case $d = 2$, that is, $\lambda = 0$, the function $F_n^0(t)$ is a multiple of $1 - \cos(nt)$. Therefore, it is not positive at all points $t \in (0, \pi]$, but rather has zeros at the points $t = 2k\pi/n$, $0 < k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Consequently, in order to guarantee that all the Gegenbauer coefficients of g are strictly positive, as part of showing g is strictly positive definite, we have to assume slightly more than was required when $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. The methods used to show Theorem 1.3, with obvious modifications, yield the following.

THEOREM 1.7 Let the real-valued function $g(\cdot) = f(\cos \cdot)$ on $[0, \pi]$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $g \in C[0, \pi]$;
- (ii) $\text{supp}(g) \subset [0, \pi]$;
- (iii) the derivative from the right, $g'(0)$, exists and is finite;
- (iv) g is convex.

Then g is a positive-definite function on \mathbb{S}^1 .

If, in addition to the above properties, g restricted to $(0, \pi)$ does not reduce to a piecewise linear function with finitely many pieces, then g is a strictly positive-definite function on \mathbb{S}^1 .

We omit the proof.

Notation: In the body of the paper many expressions will occur with removable singularities; consider, for example, (3.2) with $\lambda - \mu$ a negative integer. We interpret such expressions in the usual way, as the value of the limit.

2. A Pólya criterion for \mathbb{S}^{d-1}

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 assuming the results regarding the positivity of the Gegenbauer coefficients of the functions $(t - \theta)_+^\mu$, $\mu = \lceil \lambda + 1 \rceil$ listed in Proposition 1.5.

Recall that the Gegenbauer expansion of a function $g = f(\cos \cdot)$ is $g \sim \sum a_n C_n^\lambda$, where $a_n = b_n/h_n$,

$$b_n = b_n(g) = b_n^\lambda(g) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) C_n^\lambda(x) w_\lambda(x) dx = \int_0^\pi f(\cos \theta) C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{d-2} d\theta \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$h_n = h_n^\lambda = \int_{-1}^1 \{C_n^\lambda(x)\}^2 w_\lambda(x) dx > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We first give an alternative expression for the coefficients, $\{b_n\}$, which will provide a major part of a proof of the conjecture when the function g has two more continuous derivatives than is assumed in Theorem 1.3.

LEMMA 2.1 Let d be an even positive integer and $\lambda = (d - 2)/2$. If $g \in C^{\lambda+2}[0, \pi]$ is identically zero in a neighbourhood of π , then the coefficients, $\{b_n\}$, defined in (2.1), are alternatively given by the expression

$$b_n(g) = \frac{(-1)^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda + 1)!} \int_0^\pi F_n^\lambda(\tau) g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Applying Taylor's theorem with integral remainder,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= f(\cos \theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda+1} \frac{g^{(k)}(\pi)}{k!} (\theta - \pi)^k + \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_{\pi}^{\theta} g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) (\theta - \tau)^{\lambda+1} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda+1} \frac{(-1)^k g^{(k)}(\pi)}{k!} (\pi - \theta)^k + \frac{(-1)^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) (\tau - \theta)^{\lambda+1} d\tau, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda+1} \frac{(-1)^k g^{(k)}(\pi)}{k!} \int_0^{\pi} (\pi - \theta)^k C_n^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_0^{\pi} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) (\tau - \theta)^{\lambda+1} d\tau C_n^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since all the derivatives at π vanish,

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{(-1)^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\tau} (\tau - \theta)^{\lambda+1} C_n^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_0^{\pi} F_n^{\lambda}(\tau) g^{(\lambda+2)}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Restrict attention, for the moment, to the case of $d \in \{4, 6, 8\}$.

In order to apply the lemma, we first have to mollify the function g .

Given $g \in C^{\lambda}[0, \pi)$, which is zero in a neighbourhood of π , we extend the definition of g to $[0, \infty)$ by taking $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \geq \pi$. For $h > 0$ define $G_h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G_h(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h \int_0^h g(x+u+v) du dv. \quad (2.4)$$

Differentiating,

$$G_h^{(\lambda)}(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h \int_0^h g^{(\lambda)}(x+u+v) du dv = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_x^{x+h} \int_0^h g^{(\lambda)}(w+u) du dw.$$

Hence,

$$G_h^{(\lambda+1)}(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h \Delta_h g^{(\lambda)}(x+u) du, \quad (2.5)$$

where Δ_h is the usual forward difference operator. It follows that $G_h^{(\lambda+1)}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, rewriting (2.5) as

$$G_h^{(\lambda+1)}(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_x^{x+h} [^{(\lambda)}(w+h) - g^{(\lambda)}(w)] dw,$$

and differentiating,

$$G_h^{(\lambda+2)}(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \Delta_h^2 g^{(\lambda)}(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, $G_h^{(\lambda+2)}$ is also continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Clearly, G_h is supported in $[0, \pi)$ for all sufficiently small $h > 0$. Also, it follows from the uniform continuity of g , and (2.4), that $\{g_h\}$ converges uniformly to g on $[0, \pi]$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence, fixing $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the coefficients $\{b_n(G_h)\}_{h>0}$ converge to $b_n = b_n(g)$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. By hypothesis, $\psi := (-1)^\lambda g^{(\lambda)}$ is a convex function. Therefore, from (2.6), $(-1)^{\lambda+2} G_h^{(\lambda+2)}$ is a non-negative function. Hence, since F_n^λ is non-negative, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the coefficient $b_n = b_n(g)$, and therefore the Gegenbauer coefficient $a_n = b_n/h_n$, is non-negative for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We now turn to the question of the boundedness, or otherwise, of $\sum a_n C_n^\lambda(1)$.

We need some standard facts about convex functions, which can be found, for example, in Royden (1968). The function ψ is convex on $[0, \pi)$. Therefore, it is absolutely continuous on every closed subset of $(0, \pi)$. The right and left derivatives of ψ exist at all points $x \in (0, \pi)$ and are nondecreasing functions of x . These two one-sided derivatives are continuous except at countably many points, and are equal at any point where one of them is continuous. Thus, the two-sided derivative of ψ exists, and is continuous, except at countably many points.

By hypothesis, the one-sided derivative $\psi'(0)$ also exists, and from the convexity it is a lower bound for the value of $\psi'(x)$ on $[0, \pi)$. Since $\psi'(\pi) = 0$, $\psi'(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [0, \pi)$ for which it is defined. Using the absolute continuity of ψ , and defining $\eta_h = (-1)^\lambda G_h^{(\lambda)}$, (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$\eta_h'(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_0^h \int_0^h \psi'(x+v+u) \, dv \, du.$$

Thus, $\eta_h'(x)$ is a weighted average of ψ' over the interval $(x, x+2h)$. Hence, for $0 \leq x$,

$$\psi'(x) \leq \eta_h'(x) \quad \text{if } \psi'(x) \text{ exists,} \quad (2.7a)$$

and

$$\eta_h'(x) \leq \psi'(x+2h) \quad \text{if } \psi'(x+2h) \text{ exists.} \quad (2.7b)$$

Then, for $h > 0$,

$$\int_0^\pi \eta_h''(x) \, dx = \eta_h'(\pi) - \eta_h'(0) = 0 - \eta_h'(0) \leq -\psi'(0) = (-1)^{\lambda+1} g^{(\lambda+1)}(0).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$0 \leq b_n(G_h) \leq \frac{\|F_n^\lambda\|_\infty |g^{(\lambda+1)}(0)|}{(\lambda+1)!},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the maximum norm on $[0, \pi]$. Taking the limit as $h \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$0 \leq b_n(g) \leq \frac{\|F_n\|_\infty |g^{(\lambda+1)}(0)|}{(\lambda+1)!}.$$

Lemma 3.6 shows that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|F_n^\lambda\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, from [Abramowitz & Stegun \(1965, \(22.2.3\)\)](#),

$$h_n = \frac{\pi 2^{1-2\lambda} \Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{n!(n+\lambda)[\Gamma(\lambda)]^2} \approx n^{2\lambda-2} \quad \text{and} \quad C_n^\lambda(1) = \binom{n+2\lambda-1}{n} \approx n^{2\lambda-1}.$$

It follows from $a_n = b_n/h_n$ and the above that $|a_n|C_n^\lambda(1) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$. Hence the Gegenbauer series for g converges with $\sum |a_n(g)|C_n^\lambda(1) < \infty$. Combining the convergence of the series with the non-negativity of the Gegenbauer coefficients shown previously, the first part of Theorem 1.3 now follows as an application of Theorem 1.1.

We now turn to the part of the statement of Theorem 1.3 concerning strict positive-definiteness.

We choose points $0 < 2a < b < \pi$ so that ψ , restricted to $[2a, b]$, is not a linear polynomial, and $\psi'(x)$ exists at $x = 2a$ and also at b . Therefore, $\psi'(2a) < \psi'(b)$. Choose $h_1 > 0$ so that $a < 2a - 2h_1 < b + 2h_1 < \pi$ and $0 < h < h_1$.

It follows from (2.7a) and (2.7b) that $\eta'_h(a - 2h) \leq \psi'(2a)$ and $\psi'(b) \leq \eta'_h(b)$. Hence,

$$\int_a^b \eta''_h(x) \, dx \geq \int_{2a-2h}^b \eta''_h(x) \, dx = \eta'_h(b) - \eta'_h(2a - 2h) \geq \psi'(b) - \psi'(2a) > 0.$$

Also $F_n^\lambda(\tau)$ is continuous and positive on the interval $[a, \pi]$. Thus, there is a number $\delta_n > 0$ such that $F_n^\lambda(\tau) \geq \delta_n$ for all τ in $[a, \pi]$. An application of Lemma 2.1 now shows that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $b_n(G_h) \geq \delta_n \{\psi'(b) - \psi'(2a)\} > 0$. Since $0 < h < h_1$ was arbitrary, taking the limit as $h \rightarrow 0^+$ shows $b_n(g) > 0$. Hence all the Gegenbauer coefficients, $a_n = b_n/h_n$, of g are positive, and the sufficient condition of [Xu & Cheney \(1992\)](#), discussed in the introduction, shows that g is strictly positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

The above has established both parts of Theorem 1.3 when $d \in \{4, 6, 8\}$. However, a function $g = f(\cos \cdot)$ which is (strictly) positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is necessarily (strictly) positive definite on \mathbb{S}^{d-2} . Hence, Theorem 1.3 for $d \in \{4, 6, 8\}$ implies Theorem 1.3 for $d \in \{3, 5, 7\}$. \square

We note that if the result of Proposition 1.5 was available for more values of $d \in 2\mathbb{N}$ then the above proof would immediately give Theorem 1.3 for more values of d .

Let us also note that increasing the power on $(t - \cdot)_+$ in the function $g(\cdot) = (t - \cdot)_+^\delta$ will preserve any existing positive-definiteness. More precisely, we have the following.

LEMMA 2.2 If $F_n^{\lambda, \delta}(t)$ is positive on $(0, \pi]$, except possibly for finitely many points t , then, for all $\mu > \delta$, $F_n^{\lambda, \mu}(t)$ is positive on $(0, \pi]$.

Proof. This follows from the semigroup property, $\mathcal{L}^{\delta+\mu} = \mathcal{L}^\delta \mathcal{L}^\mu$, for the fractional integrals

$$\mathcal{L}^\delta f := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\delta-1} f(\theta) \, d\theta. \quad \square$$

This lemma shows the pivotal role of the boundary case involving $F_n^\lambda(t)$.

3. Proofs of Conjecture 1.4 in low-dimensional cases

In this section, we present proofs of Conjecture 1.4 in low-dimensional cases as detailed in Proposition 1.5.

Recall the formula connecting Gegenbauer polynomials with different parameters (Szegő, 1975, p. 99). For $\mu > (\lambda - 1)/2$,

$$(\sin \theta)^{2\mu} C_n^\mu(\cos \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,n}^{\mu,\lambda} (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} C_{n+2k}^\lambda(\cos \theta), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$c_{k,n}^{\mu,\lambda} = \frac{2^{2\lambda-2\mu} \Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(n+2\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(\lambda-\mu)} \frac{(n+2k+\lambda)(n+2k)! \Gamma(n+k+\lambda) \Gamma(k+\lambda-\mu)}{n! k! \Gamma(n+k+\mu+1) \Gamma(n+2k+2\lambda)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that if $\lambda - \mu$ is a negative integer the connection coefficient $c_{k,n}^{\mu,\lambda}$ is only nonzero for $0 \leq k \leq \mu - \lambda$.

LEMMA 3.1 For $\mu \geq 1$,

$$C_n^\mu(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,n}^\mu \cos(n+2k)\theta, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$c_{k,n}^\mu := \frac{2^{1-2\mu} (-\mu)_k \Gamma(n+2\mu) \Gamma(n+k)(n+2k)}{\Gamma(\mu) n! k! \Gamma(n+k+\mu+1)}.$$

When $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ the summation terminates at $k = \mu$ and the expression for $c_{k,n}^\mu$ can be rewritten as

$$c_{k,n}^\mu = \frac{2^{1-2\mu}}{\Gamma(\mu)} (-1)^k \binom{\mu}{k} \frac{(n+1)_{2\mu-1} (n+2k)}{(n+k)_{\mu+1}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Recall that $C_m^1(\cos \theta) = U_m(\cos \theta) = \sin(n+1)\theta / \sin \theta$. From the case $\lambda = 1$ of (3.1) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^\mu(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^{2\mu} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,n}^{\mu,1} \sin(n+2k+1)\theta \sin \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,n}^{\mu,1} (\cos(n+2k)\theta - \cos(n+2k+2)\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (c_{k,n}^{\mu,1} - c_{k-1,n}^{\mu,1}) \cos(n+2k)\theta, \end{aligned}$$

so that $c_{k,n}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} c_{k,n}^{\mu,1} - \frac{1}{2} c_{k-1,n}^{\mu,1}$, the explicit formula of which is deduced from (3.2). \square

For μ a positive integer, equation (3.3) can also be deduced from the following relation for Gegenbauer polynomials:

$$(1-x^2)C_k^{\lambda+1}(x) = \frac{(k+2\lambda+1)(k+2\lambda)}{4\lambda(k+\lambda+1)} C_k^\lambda(x) - \frac{(k+2)(k+1)}{4\lambda(k+\lambda+1)} C_{k+2}^\lambda(x). \quad (3.5)$$

The equation (3.3) allows us to write down an explicit formula for $F_k^\lambda(t)$.

LEMMA 3.2 For $\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$F_n^{2\mu-1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\mu-1} c_{k,n}^{2\mu-1} \frac{(-1)^\mu (2\mu)!}{(n+2k)^{2\mu+1}} \left[\sin(n+2k)t - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} (-1)^j \frac{(n+2k)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!} t^{2j+1} \right],$$

$$F_n^{2\mu}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\mu} c_{k,n}^{2\mu} \frac{(-1)^{\mu+1} (2\mu+1)!}{(n+2k)^{2\mu+2}} \left[\cos(n+2k)t - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} (-1)^j \frac{(n+2k)^{2j}}{(2j)!} t^{2j} \right].$$

Proof. It follows from Taylor's theorem with integral remainder that

$$\cos kt - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} (-1)^j \frac{(kt)^{2j}}{(2j)!} = (-1)^{\mu+1} \frac{k^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_0^t (t-\theta)^{\lambda+1} \cos k\theta \, d\theta, \quad \lambda = 2\mu,$$

$$\sin kt - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} (-1)^j \frac{(kt)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!} = (-1)^\mu \frac{k^{\lambda+2}}{(\lambda+1)!} \int_0^t (t-\theta)^{\lambda+1} \cos k\theta \, d\theta, \quad \lambda = 2\mu - 1.$$

Consequently, together with the identity in Lemma 3.1, we obtain an explicit formula for $F_n^\lambda(t)$. \square

Prototypical special cases are

$$\frac{4}{3} F_n^2(t) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{e_{k,n}}{(n+2k)^4} \left\{ \cos((n+2k)t) - \left[1 - \frac{(n+2k)^2 t^2}{2} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$e_{0,n} = (n+3), \quad e_{1,n} = -2(n+2) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{2,n} = (n+1),$$

$$\frac{8}{3} F_n^3(t) = \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{f_{k,n}}{(n+2k)^5} \left\{ \sin((n+2k)t) - \left[(n+2k)t - \frac{(n+2k)^3 t^3}{6} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$f_{0,n} = (n+5)(n+4), \quad f_{1,n} = -3(n+5)(n+2), \quad f_{2,n} = 3(n+4)(n+1)$$

and

$$f_{3,n} = -(n+2)(n+1).$$

Let us write (3.5) as

$$\frac{2(k+\lambda+1)}{2\lambda+1} (1-x^2) \frac{C_k^{\lambda+1}(x)}{C_k^{\lambda+1}(1)} = \frac{C_k^\lambda(x)}{C_k^\lambda(1)} - \frac{C_{k+2}^\lambda(x)}{C_{k+2}^\lambda(1)}. \quad (3.8)$$

For $0 \leq \delta \leq \lambda$ define

$$G_n^{\lambda,\delta}(t) := \frac{F_n^{\lambda,\delta+1}(t)}{C_n^\lambda(1)} = \int_0^t (t-\theta)^{\delta+1} \frac{C_n^\lambda(\cos \theta)}{C_n^\lambda(1)} (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} \, d\theta. \quad (3.9)$$

Then the following relation follows immediately from (3.8).

LEMMA 3.3 For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \lambda$,

$$\frac{2}{2\lambda - 1} G_n^{\lambda, \delta}(t) = \frac{1}{n + \lambda} [G_n^{\lambda-1, \delta}(t) - G_{n+2}^{\lambda-1, \delta}(t)]. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us start from $\lambda = 0$ and make a change of variable in the integral to obtain

$$G_n^{0, \delta}(t) = \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\delta+1} \cos n\theta \, d\theta = t^{\delta+2} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\delta+1} \cos(nts) \, ds = t^{\delta+2} h_\delta(nt),$$

where

$$h_\delta(u) := \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\delta+1} \cos(us) \, ds. \quad (3.11)$$

Let us define

$$H_1^\delta(n, \xi_1, t) := h'_\delta((n + \xi_1)t) \quad (3.12)$$

and, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, define inductively

$$H_{j+1}^\delta(n, \xi_{j+1}, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{j+1}} \left[\frac{H_j^\delta(n + \xi_{j+1}, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + j + \xi_{j+1}} \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

LEMMA 3.4 For $j = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\frac{2^j}{(2j - 1)!!} G_n^{j, \delta}(t) = (-1)^j \frac{t^{\delta+3}}{n + j} \int_{[0, 2]^j} H_j^\delta(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t) \, d\xi_j \cdots d\xi_1. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Applying (3.10) with $\lambda = 1$ shows that

$$2G_n^{1, \delta}(t) = \frac{t^{\delta+2}}{n + 1} [h_\delta(nt) - h_\delta((n + 2)t)] = -\frac{t^{\delta+3}}{n + 1} \int_0^2 h'_\delta((n + \xi)t) \, d\xi, \quad (3.15)$$

which proves (3.14) when $j = 1$. For $j > 1$, we use induction and (3.10) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^{j+1}}{(2j + 1)!!} G_n^{j+1, \delta}(t) &= \frac{(-1)^j t^{\delta+3}}{n + j + 1} \\ &\quad \times \int_{[0, 2]^j} \left[\frac{H_j^\delta(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + j} - \frac{H_j^\delta(n + 2, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + 2 + j} \right] d\xi_j \cdots d\xi_1, \end{aligned}$$

from which (3.14) for $j + 1$ follows readily. \square

In particular, for $j = 2$, this gives

$$\frac{4}{3} G_n^{2, \delta}(t) = \frac{t^{\delta+3}}{n + 2} \int_0^2 \int_0^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \left[\frac{h'_\delta((n + \xi_1 + \xi_2)t)}{n + 1 + \xi_2} \right] d\xi_2 \, d\xi_1. \quad (3.16)$$

LEMMA 3.5 For $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$,

$$|h_\lambda^{(r)}(u)| \leq cu^{-r-2} \quad \text{for } u \geq 1, \quad (3.17)$$

where the constant c depends only on λ and r .

Proof. Let us denote by g_λ the function

$$g_\lambda(u) := (\lambda + 1)! \begin{cases} (-1)^{\mu+1} \left[\cos u - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} (-1)^j \frac{u^{2j}}{(2j)!} \right], & \lambda = 2\mu, \\ (-1)^\mu \left[\sin u - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} (-1)^j \frac{u^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!} \right], & \lambda = 2\mu - 1. \end{cases}$$

From the displayed identities in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we then obtain $h_\lambda(u) = g_\lambda(u)/u^{\lambda+2}$. Since it is evident that $|g_\lambda(u)| \leq c u^\lambda$ for $u \geq 1$, it follows that $|h_\lambda(u)| \leq cu^{-2}$. Taking derivatives, it is easy to see that $|g_\lambda^{(j)}(u)| \leq cu^{\lambda-j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda$ and $u \geq 1$. Consequently, by the Leibniz rule,

$$h_\lambda^{(r)}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j (\lambda + 2)_j u^{-(\lambda+2+j)} g_\lambda^{(r-j)}(u),$$

from which the stated estimate follows. \square

LEMMA 3.6 Let $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denote the uniform norm on $[0, \pi]$. For $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\|F_n^\lambda\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Throughout this proof c represents a constant, possibly different at every occurrence, depending only on λ .

If $nt \leq 1$, then we use the expression for F_n^λ in Lemma 3.2, in which the terms in the square brackets are bounded by an absolute constant depending only on λ . Thus, the estimate $|F_n^\lambda(t)| \leq cn^{-3}$ for $0 \leq t \leq n^{-1}$ follows immediately from the fact that the coefficients $c_{k,n}^\mu$ satisfy $|c_{k,n}^\mu| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\mu-1})$; see (3.4).

We now assume $0 < t \leq \pi$, $nt \geq 1$ and $\xi_i \in [0, 2]$ for all i . Since $F_n^\lambda = C_n^\lambda(1)G_n^{\lambda,\lambda} = \binom{n+2\lambda-1}{n} G_n^{\lambda,\lambda}$, it is sufficient to show that $\max_{t \in [n^{-1}, \pi]} |G_n^{\lambda,\lambda}(t)| \leq cn^{-2\lambda-2}$. We claim that for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda$ the kernel H_j^λ of $G_n^{\lambda,\lambda}$ in (3.14) is of the form

$$H_j^\lambda(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t) = \sum_{i=1}^j R_{i,j}(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t) h_\lambda^{(i)}((n + \xi_j + \dots + \xi_1)t), \quad (3.18)$$

where the $R_{i,j}$ are rational functions of the form

$$R_{i,j} = \frac{P_{i,j}}{Q_{i,j}}, \quad \deg(R_{i,j}) := \deg P_{i,j} - \deg Q_{i,j} \leq 1 + i - 2j, \quad (3.19)$$

where the $P_{i,j}$ are polynomials in $t, n, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_j$, the $Q_{i,j}$ are polynomials in n, ξ_2, \dots, ξ_j , and their degrees refer to their highest degree in n . Furthermore,

the coefficient of the highest power of n in the polynomial $Q_{i,j}$ can be chosen as 1. (3.20)

Assume for now that (3.18–3.20) have been shown. We then have

$$|R_{i,j}(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t)| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-(2j-i-1)}).$$

Since $nt \geq 1$ implies that $(n + \xi_j + \dots + \xi_1)t \geq 1$, (3.17) and (3.18) then imply

$$|H_j^\lambda(n, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_1, t)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^j n^{-(2j-i-1)} (nt)^{-i-2}\right) \leq ct^{-j-2} n^{-2j-1},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda$, where $0 \leq \xi_1, \dots, \xi_j \leq 2$. Consequently, from (3.14) with $j = \lambda$ it follows

$$\max_{t \in [n^{-1}, \pi]} |G_n^{\lambda, \lambda}(t)| \leq \max_{t \in [n^{-1}, \pi]} ct^{\lambda+3} t^{-\lambda-2} n^{-2\lambda-1} \leq cn^{-2\lambda-1},$$

which shows that $\max_{t \in [n^{-1}, \pi]} |F_n^\lambda(t)| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3})$, and thus $\|F_n^\lambda\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3})$.

It only remains to prove (3.18–3.20). The proof is by induction on j .

Induction basis: In the case $j = 1$ the identities follow from the definition (3.12), and the choice $P_{1,1} = Q_{1,1} = 1$.

Induction step: Assume that the properties have been established up to $j = k$. We shall leave out the argument of H_{k+1} and $R_{i,k+1}$ below and trust that no confusion is likely to occur. By (3.13) and the induction hypotheses,

$$H_{k+1}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{k+1}} \left[\frac{R_{i,k}(n + \xi_{k+1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + k + \xi_{k+1}} h_\lambda^{(i)}((n + \xi_{k+1} + \dots + \xi_1)t) \right],$$

from which we immediately deduce that (3.18) holds for H_{k+1}^λ with

$$R_{k+1,k+1} = t \frac{R_{k,k}(n + \xi_{k+1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + k + \xi_{k+1}}, \tag{3.21}$$

and, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, with $R_{0,k} := 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i,k+1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{k+1}} \left[\frac{R_{i,k}(n + \xi_{k+1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + j + \xi_{k+1}} \right] + t \frac{R_{i-1,k}(n + \xi_{k+1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_1, t)}{n + k + \xi_{k+1}} \\ &=: R_{i,k+1}^{(1)} + R_{i,k+1}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

It follows from (3.21), by the induction hypotheses, that $\deg(R_{k+1,k+1}) = \deg(R_{k,k}) - 1 \leq -k$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, quick computations show that, by the induction hypotheses,

$$\deg(R_{i,k+1}^{(1)}) = \deg(R_{i,k}) - 2 \leq i - 1 - 2k, \quad \deg(R_{i,k+1}^{(2)}) = \deg(R_{i-1,k}) - 1 \leq i - 1 - 2k.$$

Finally, if $R = R_1 + R_2$ and $\max(\deg R_1, \deg R_2) = \ell$, then placing R_1 and R_2 over a common denominator, $\deg R \leq \ell$. Consequently, by (3.22), $\deg R_{i,k+1} \leq i - 1 - 2k$. This shows (3.19) for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ and

$j = k + 1$. Finally, (3.20) for $j = k + 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ follows from (3.21), (3.22) and the induction hypotheses. Thus, if the three properties hold up to $j = k$, they also hold for $j = k + 1$.

Conclusion: The result follows by induction for all positive integers i and j , with $1 \leq i \leq j$. \square

Proof of the case $d = 4$, that is, $\lambda = 1$, of Proposition 1.5. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Assume now that $n > 0$. Recalling that $U_n \cos \theta = \sin((n + 1)\theta) / \sin \theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^1(t) &= \int_{\theta=0}^t (t - \theta)^2 U_n \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \int_0^t (t - \theta)^2 \sin((n + 1)\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^2 \{\cos(n\theta) - \cos((n + 2)\theta)\} \, d\theta \\ &= I(t, n) - I(t, n + 2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$I(t, m) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^2 \cos(m\theta) \, d\theta.$$

Integrating by parts shows that

$$I(t, m) = t^3 h(mt) \quad \text{with } h(u) = \frac{u - \sin u}{u^3}, \quad u > 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} I(t, m) = -t^4 h'(mt) = -t^4 \frac{2u + u \cos u - 3 \sin u}{u^4}, \tag{3.25}$$

where $u = mt$. By the trivial inequalities $|\cos u| \leq 1$ and $|\sin u| \leq 1$, we see that

$$g(u) := 2u + u \cos u - 3 \sin u \geq 2u - u - 3 = u - 3 > 0 \quad \text{for } u > 3.$$

On the other hand, the Taylor expansion of $g(u)$ takes the form

$$g(u) = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(k-1)u^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \frac{2u^5}{5!} - \frac{4u^7}{7!} + \frac{6u^9}{9!} - \frac{8u^{11}}{11!} + \dots,$$

which is an alternating series, hence positive, if $0 < u^2 < 21$, which clearly covers $u \in (0, 3]$. Consequently, it follows that $g(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, which implies that for $t > 0$, $h(mt)$, and hence $I(t, m)$, is strictly decreasing in $m > 0$. Therefore, by (3.23), $F_n^1(t)$ is positive for all $t > 0$ and all positive integers n . \square

Proof of the case $d = 6$, that is, $\lambda = 2$, of Proposition 1.5. The proof below splits into two cases: t near zero, and $a/n < t \leq \pi$, where a is a constant yet to be determined.

We deal with the second case first. Recall from (3.9) that $F_n^\lambda(t) = C_n^\lambda(1)G_n^{\lambda,\lambda}(t)$, and from (3.10),

$$\frac{2}{2\lambda - 1}G_n^{\lambda,\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{n + \lambda}[G_n^{\lambda-1,\delta}(t) - G_{n+2}^{\lambda-1,\delta}(t)].$$

We need to show that $G_n^{2,2}(t) > 0$, which holds if $(d/dn)G_n^{1,2}(t) < 0$.

From (3.15),

$$2G_n^{1,2}(t) = -\frac{t^5}{n + 1} \int_0^2 h_2((n + \xi)t) d\xi,$$

where h_2 is defined in (3.11). Therefore, writing h for h_2 , we have

$$2t^{-5} \frac{d}{dn} G_n^{1,2}(t) = - \int_0^2 \left[\frac{th''((n + \xi)t)}{n + 1} - \frac{h'((n + \xi)t)}{(n + 1)^2} \right] d\xi.$$

Our immediate goal is to find a constant a such that $(d/dn)G_n^{1,2}(t) < 0$ for $a/n < t \leq \pi$, which will allow us to conclude that $F_n^2(t) > 0$ for $a/n < t \leq \pi$. Evidently, it is sufficient to show that the function being integrated above is positive. Hence, we see that $(d/dn)G_n^{1,2}(t) < 0$ if

$$H(u) := (n + 1)th''((n + \xi)t) - h'((n + \xi)t) = \frac{n + 1}{n + \xi}uh''(u) - h'(u) > 0 \quad (3.26)$$

for all $0 < \xi < 2$, where $u = (n + \xi)t$.

A simple calculation shows that

$$h(u) = h_2(u) = \frac{6}{u^4} \left(\cos u - 1 + \frac{u^2}{2} \right).$$

Next we show that for all $u > 0$, $h'(u) < 0$. Taking derivatives and Maclaurin series we see that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(u) &= \frac{6(4 - u^2 - 4 \cos u - u \sin u)}{u^5} \\ &= \frac{6}{u^5} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^k(k - 2)}{(2k)!} u^{2k} = \frac{6}{u^5} \left(-\frac{u^6}{360} + \frac{u^8}{10080} - \frac{u^{10}}{604800} - \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

The series is an alternating series, and negative, for $u^2 < 28$, thus certainly for $0 < u \leq 4$. Furthermore, when $u > 4$ the $-u^2$ dominates the other terms in the numerator and $h'(u)$ is again negative. The desired result follows.

Now we consider

$$h''(u) = \frac{6(-20 + 3u^2 - (-20 + u^2) \cos u + 8u \sin u)}{u^6}.$$

The trivial inequalities $|\sin u| \leq 1$ and $|\cos u| \leq 1$ show that

$$h''(u) \geq 6u^{-6}(3u^2 - u^2 - 8u - 40) = 12u^{-6}(u^2 - 4u - 20) > 0,$$

if $u > 2(1 + \sqrt{6}) = 6.898989\dots$. In fact, numerical computation shows that $h''(u)$ has a single root for $0 < u < 7$ at $u_0 = 3.68542\dots$. Thus, $h''(u)$ is positive if $u > u_0$. Combining these facts about h' and h'' , we see that $H(u) > 0$ if $u > u_0$.

We now consider the case $0 < u \leq u_0$ for which $h''(u) \leq 0$. Then by (3.26) $0 < \xi < 2$ implies

$$H(u) \geq \frac{n+1}{n}uh''(u) - h'(u) \geq \frac{4}{3}uh''(u) - h'(u) \quad \text{when } n \geq 3.$$

Define $k(u)$ to be the estimate of $H(u)$ from below given on the right hand side above:

$$k(u) := \frac{4}{3}uh''(u) - h'(u) = \frac{u^{-5}}{3}[-92 + 15u^2 - 4(-20 + u^2)\cos u + 35u\sin u + 12\cos u].$$

Applying the trivial inequalities $|\cos u| \leq 1$ and $|\sin u| \leq 1$ shows that $k(u)$ is positive if $u > 5.9793\dots$. Numerical computation further shows that $k(u)$ has one single zero in $0 < u < 6$ at $u_1 = 1.86321\dots$. That is, $k(u)$ is positive for all $u > u_1$.

Combining the results so far we have shown that $H(u) > 0$ for all $u > u_1$. In view of the remarks near equation (3.26) this allows us to conclude that

$$F_n^2(t) > 0 \quad \text{whenever } u_1/n < t \leq \pi \quad \text{and } n \geq 3. \quad (3.27)$$

We still have to analyse the behaviour of $F_n(t)$ when $0 < t \leq u_1/n$. By an inequality in [Elbert & Laforgia \(1990\)](#), the largest zero of the Gegenbauer polynomial C_n^λ satisfies the inequality

$$x_{n,1}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n^2 + 2(n-1)\lambda - 1}}{(n+\lambda)}.$$

Taking $t_n^* = \arccos(x_{n,1}(2))$, it follows that

$$\cos(t_n^*) = x_{n,1}(2) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{9}{(n+2)^2}},$$

so that

$$(\sin t_n^*)^2 \geq \frac{9}{(n+2)^2},$$

and $C_n^2(\cos \theta)$ is positive whenever $0 < \theta < t_n^*$, and therefore whenever $0 < \theta \leq \sin t_n^*$. By the definition of $F_n^2(t)$, this shows that

$$F_n^2(t) > 0 \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t \leq \frac{3}{(n+2)}. \quad (3.28)$$

The regions of positivity given by equations (3.27) and (3.28) overlap when $n \geq 4$, so that for all such n , then $F_n^2(t) > 0$ for all $0 < t \leq \pi$. The same conclusion can be reached for $0 \leq n \leq 3$ by plotting the explicit expression (3.6) for $F_n^2(t)$. \square

Proof of the case $d = 8$, that is, $\lambda = 3$, of Proposition 1.5. The proof below splits into two cases, t near zero, and $t > a/n$, as did the proof in the $d = 6$ case.

We deal first with the case $t > a/n$. Recall from (3.9) that $F_n^\lambda(t) = C_n^\lambda(1)G_n^{\lambda,\lambda}(t)$, and from (3.10),

$$\frac{2}{2\lambda - 1}G_n^{\lambda,\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{n + \lambda}[G_n^{\lambda-1,\delta}(t) - G_{n+2}^{\lambda-1,\delta}(t)].$$

We need to show that $G_n^{3,3}(t) > 0$, which holds if $(d/dn)G_n^{2,3}(t) < 0$.

Our immediate goal therefore is to find a constant a so that $(d/dn)G_n^{2,3}(t) < 0$ for all $a/n < t \leq \pi$. By (3.16) with $\delta = 3$, and the function $h = h_3$ defined in (3.11),

$$\frac{4}{3}G_n^{2,3}(t) = \frac{t^6}{n+2} \int_0^2 \int_0^2 \left[\frac{th''((n+\xi+\eta)t)}{n+1+\eta} - \frac{h'((n+\xi+\eta)t)}{(n+1+\eta)^2} \right] d\eta d\xi.$$

Taking the derivative with respect to n and simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{3} \frac{d}{dn} G_n^{2,3}(t) &= t^6 \int_0^2 \int_0^2 \left[\frac{t^2 h'''((n+\xi+\eta)t)}{(n+2)(n+1+\eta)} - \frac{(3n+5+\eta)th''((n+\xi+\eta)t)}{(n+2)^2(n+1+\eta)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(3n+5+\eta)h'((n+\xi+\eta)t)}{(n+2)^2(n+1+\eta)^3} \right] d\eta d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $(d/dn)G_n^{2,3}(t) < 0$, we only need to show that the integrand is negative for all $0 < \xi, \eta < 2$. We introduce a function,

$$H_n(u) := u^2 h'''(u) - \frac{(3n+5+\eta)(n+\xi+\eta)}{(n+2)(n+1+\eta)} u h''(u) + \frac{(3n+5+\eta)(n+\xi+\eta)^2}{(n+2)(n+1+\eta)^2} h'(u). \quad (3.29)$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{4}{3} \frac{d}{dn} G_n^{2,3}(t) = t^6 \int_0^2 \int_0^2 a_n H_n((n+\xi+\eta)t) d\eta d\xi, \quad (3.30)$$

where $a_n = a_n(\xi, \eta) = 1/((n+2)(n+1+\eta)(n+\xi+\eta)^2) > 0$. Thus, to demonstrate that $(d/dn)G_n^{2,3}(t)$ is negative, it is sufficient to show that $H_n(u) < 0$ for all $0 < \xi, \eta < 2$, where $u = (n+\xi+\eta)$.

Now, a simple computation shows that

$$h(u) = h_3(u) = \int_0^1 (1-s)^4 \cos(su) ds = \frac{4(-6u + u^3 + 6 \sin u)}{u^5}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h'(u) &= -\frac{8(u^3 - 12u - 3u \cos u + 15 \sin u)}{u^6}, \\ h''(u) &= \frac{24(u(u^2 - 20) - 10u \cos u - (u^2 - 30) \sin u)}{u^7}, \\ h'''(u) &= -\frac{24(4u(u^2 - 30) + u(u^2 - 90) \cos u - 15(u^2 - 14) \sin u)}{u^8}. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediately clear that for all large u the signs of the $h^{(j)}(u)$ alternate in such a way as to make $H_n(u)$ (defined in (3.29)) negative. We need a good estimate of just how large u must be.

The signs $h^{(j)}(u)$ can be determined as in the previous cases. It turns out that $h'(u) < 0$ for all $u > 0$. Elementary consideration shows that $h''(u) > 0$ for all large u and numerical computation shows that $h''(u)$ has one simple zero for $u > 0$ at $u_2 = 4.23573\dots$, so that $h''(u) > 0$ for $u > u_2$ and $h''(u) < 0$ for $0 < u < u_2$. Similarly, $h'''(u)$ has one simple zero for $u > 0$ at $u_3 = 7.15125\dots$, $h'''(u) < 0$ for $u > u_3$ and $h'''(u) > 0$ for $0 < u < u_3$. We have several cases.

Case 1. $u \geq u_3$. In this case, $h'(u) < 0$, $h''(u) > 0$ and $h'''(u) \leq 0$. That $H_n(u) < 0$ then follows immediately from the definition in (3.29).

Case 2. $0 < u < u_3$. We write $H_n(u)$ as

$$H_n(u) = u^2 h'''(u) - \frac{(3n+5+\eta)(n+\xi+\eta)}{(n+2)(n+1+\eta)} \left[u h''(u) - \frac{n+\xi+\eta}{n+1+\eta} h'(u) \right].$$

Since $h'(u) < 0$ it follows readily that for $0 < \xi, \eta < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} u h''(u) - \frac{n+\xi+\eta}{n+1+\eta} h'(u) &> u h''(u) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1+\eta}\right) h'(u) \\ &> u h''(u) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) h'(u) \geq u h''(u) - \frac{h'(u)}{2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $u > u_0 = 2.99521\dots$, and the last quantity on the right of the display is zero at $u = u_0$. Consequently, we obtain that for $u > u_0$,

$$H_n(u) < u^2 h'''(u) - \left(3 - \frac{1}{n+2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \left[u h''(u) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) h'(u) \right].$$

Denote the right-hand side of the above inequality by $\Lambda_n(u)$. $\Lambda_n(u)$ is positive at u_0 if $n = 1$ and is negative at u_3 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is also a decreasing function of n for $u_0 < u$. Numerical computation shows that

$$\Lambda_9(u) < 0 \quad \text{if } u > u^* = 3.63661\dots$$

This shows that $H_n(u) < 0$ for $u^* < u \leq u_3$ and $n \geq 9$.

We have already shown in case 1 that $H_n(u) < 0$ if $u \geq u_3$. Hence, $H_n(u) < 0$ on (u^*, ∞) if $n \geq 9$. As $u = (n + \xi + \eta)t$, it follows by (3.30) that $(d/dn)G_n^{2,3}(t) > 0$ if $nt > u^*$ or $t > u^*/n$. Consequently, by (3.10) and (3.9), we conclude that $F_n^3(t) = C_n^3(1)G_n^{3,3}(t) > 0$ if $t > u^*/n$ and $n \geq 9$.

On the other hand, an inequality in [Area et al. \(2004\)](#) shows that the largest zero of the Gegenbauer polynomial C_n^λ satisfies the inequality

$$x_{n,1}(\lambda) \leq \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n+2\lambda-2)}{(n+\lambda-2)(n+\lambda-1)}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right).$$

Therefore, defining $t_n^* = \arccos(x_{n,1}(3))$,

$$\cos^2 t_n^* \leq \frac{(n-1)(n+4)}{(n+1)(n+2)} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$$

and

$$\sin t_n^* \geq \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{6}{(n+1)(n+2)}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)}. \quad (3.31)$$

$C_n^3(\cos \theta)$ is positive for $0 < \theta < t_n^*$, and therefore, from its definition, $F_n^3(t)$ is positive for $0 < t \leq \sin t_n^*$. Estimating $\sin t_n^*$ from below we have

$$\sin t_n^* \geq \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{6}{(n+1)^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2(n+1)^2} + \frac{\pi^4}{24(n+1)^4}\right)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{6 + \pi^2}}{n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \quad (3.32)$$

At this point we have shown $F_n^3(t)$ to be positive on $(0, \sin t_n^*]$ and also on $(u^*/n, \pi]$. Since $\sqrt{6 + \pi^2} = 3.983667\dots > u^*$, the asymptotic estimate of $\sin t_n^*$ above shows that the regions on which $F_n^3(t)$ is positive overlap, and cover all of $(0, \pi]$, for all large enough n . Numerical comparison of $\sin t_n^*$ and u^*/n shows that the overlap happens for all $n > 14$. The proof of the positivity of $F_n^3(t)$ on $(0, \pi]$, when $0 \leq n \leq 14$, can be completed by plotting the explicit expression (3.7) for $F_n^3(t)$ on $[0, \pi]$. \square

Funding

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1106113 (to Y.X.).

REFERENCES

- ABRAMOWITZ, M. & STEGUN, I. A. (eds) (1965) *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications.
- AREA, I., DIMITROV, D., GODOY, E. & RONVEAUX, A. (2004) Zeros of Gegenbauer and Hermite polynomials and connection coefficients. *Math. Comp.*, **73**, 1937–1951.
- ASKEY, R. (1973) Radial characteristic functions. *Technical Report No. 1262*. Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- ASKEY, R. (1975) Some characteristic functions of unimodal distributions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **50**, 465–469.
- CHEN, D., MENEGATTO, V. A. & SUN, X. (2003) A necessary and sufficient condition for strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131**, 2733–2740.
- ELBERT, A. & LAFORGIA, A. (1990) Upper bounds for zeros of ultraspherical polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory*, **61**, 88–97.
- FIELDS, J. L. & ISMAIL, M. E. (1975) On the positivity of some ${}_1F_2$'s. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **6**, 551–559.
- GASPER, G. (1975) Positive integrals of Bessel functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **6**, 868–881.
- GNEITING, T. (1998) Simple tests for the validity of correlation function models on the circle. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, **39**, 119–122.
- GNEITING, T. (2001) Criteria of Pólya type for radial positive definite functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129**, 2309–2318.
- GNEITING, T. (2012) Strictly and non-strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Preprint*. University of Heidelberg, March, arXiv:1111.7077.
- PÓLYA, G. (1918) Über die Nullstellen gewisser ganzer Funktionen (German). *Math. Zeitschr.*, **2**, 352–383.
- PÓLYA, G. (1949) Remarks on characteristic functions. *Proceedings of the Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*. Berkeley: University of California Press, pp. 115–123.
- ROYDEN, H. L. (1968) *Real Analysis*, 2nd edn. Toronto, Ontario: Collier–Macmillan.
- RUDIN, W. (1958) Representation of functions by convolution. *J. Math. Mech.*, **7**, 103–115.
- SCHOENBERG, I. J. (1942) Positive definite functions on spheres. *Duke Math. J.*, **9**, 96–108.
- SZEGŐ, G. (1975) *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th edn. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 23. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- TRIGUB, R. M. (1989) A criterion for a characteristic function and a Pólya-type criterion for radial functions of several variables. *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, **34**, 738–742.
- XU, Y. & CHENEY, W. (1992) Strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116**, 977–981.